arXiv:1208.1705v1 [math.NT] 8 Aug 2012

BEYOND ENDOSCOPY FOR THE SYMMETRIC CUBE L-FUNCTION AND THE SHIMURA CORRESPONDENCE

P. EDWARD HERMAN

Abstract. This paper is a first attempt at getting information on a symmetric power lift of a GL_2 automorphic representation via a trace formula that is beyond endoscopic techniques. In particular, we study the symmetric third power L-function for representations over $\mathbb{Q}$ adjoined the cube roots of unity. For a certain family of automorphic representations over this field, we prove that the symmetric third power L-function of a cuspidal representation has analytic continuation up to the right of $s = \frac{1}{2}$. As well we show there is a pole for this L-function coming from Kubota's cubic theta function in the guise of a residual Eisenstein series. While this result is known by Kim and Shahidi, one hopes that similar ideas to this paper can push further the understanding of higher symmetric power lifts. A key tool needed in the study is an identity relating cubic exponential sums to Kloosterman sums. While we do not make a traditional trace formula comparison in this paper, this very same identity is crucial to the fundamental lemma in work of Mao and Rallis.

1. Introduction

The goal of Langlands's paper *Beyond Endoscopy* is to study functoriality via the trace formula that is not accessible using endoscopy. One such example is the symmetric power lifts of a GL_2 cuspidal automorphic representation Π. The symmetric k-th power lift of the automorphic representation Π is a degree $k+1$ representation and it is conjectured to be automorphic. Its associated L-function is entire assuming the representation is not associated to a Galois representation on a complex vector space. In other words, the L-function is conjectured entire unless the form is dihedral, tetrahedral, octahedral, or icosahedral.

The endoscopic approach here would be to take a trace formula associated to representations of degree $k+1$ and a trace formula associated to representations of degree 2, and compare or match orbital integrals. However, there is no known way of capturing from all $k+1$ automorphic representations the lifts from representations of GL_2.

Langlands's idea then is to capture functoriality via another mechanism. Let ρ denote a representation of the dual group of GL_2, and let

$$L(s,\Pi,\rho) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n(\Pi,\rho)}{n^s}$$

denote the associated L-function. One can think of the Dirichlet coefficients $c_n(\Pi,\rho)$ as Fourier coefficients associated to the representation Π. Then if $g \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $\int_0^{\infty} g(x)dx = 1$, the sum

$$G_{\Pi,\rho}(X) := \frac{1}{X}\sum_n g(n/X)c_n(\Pi,\rho),$$

Part of this research was supported by an NSF Mathematical Sciences Research Institutes Post-Doc and by the American Institute of Mathematics.

and its size (in terms of X), gives data about the associated L-function. If the associated L-function has a simple pole at $s = 1$, then

$$G_{\Pi,\rho}(X) = Res_{s=1} L(s, \Pi, \rho) + O(X^{-\delta}), \quad \delta > 0.$$

However, it is challenging to get such analytic information about a single automorphic representation. Langlands then incorporated the trace formula into this averaging of the coefficients. One studies,

$$\sum_{\Pi} G_{\Pi,\rho}(X).$$

Now the trace formula can be used as we have a sum over the spectrum of representation on $GL(2)$, and detect the representations that have an L-function with non trivial residue at $s = 1$. A key point being when the L-function associated to Π has a pole, it is "detecting" a functorial transfer or Π is lifted from a lower degree.

In this paper we study ρ being the symmetric cube lift of a representation Π using the beyond endoscopy approach. Previously, the symmetric first and second power lifts were studied via this method by Sarnak [S] and Venkatesh [V1], respectively.

Unfortunately, in our study we are restricted to a field $\mathbf{K}$ that is adjoined with the cube roots of unity for technical reasons. Likewise, due to analytic difficulties, we choose the central character to be the cubic residue character, which also requires the arithmetic lattice Γ associated to our representations be contained in a principal congruence subgroup. This lattice is more restrictive then the classical Hecke congruence subgroup. There is another point of technicality that instead of investigating the true symmetric cube Fourier coefficients $c_n(\Pi, sym^3)$, we use $c_{n^3}(\Pi)$. These coefficients agree at squarefree numbers and the Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{n^3}(\Pi)}{n^s}$$

associated to the latter should be analytic in the critical strip if the symmetric cube L-function is entire. In the paper we get analytic information on this Dirichlet series and show this gives similar information on the symmetric cube L-function. This is analogous to the symmetric square calculation in [V1], where the coefficients studied are $c_{n^2}(\Pi)$ and not the true ones $\sum_{dl^2=n} c_{d^2}(\Pi)$. Fortunately in the symmetric square case, this is analytically harmless as

$$L(s, \Pi, sym^2) = \zeta(2s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{n^2}(\Pi)}{n^s}.$$

It is not so simple in the symmetric cube case and so getting analytic continuation of the L-function in this way might be difficult.

We study

$$\frac{1}{X} \sum_{(n)} g(\mathbb{N}(n)/X) \left(\sum_{\Pi \neq \mathbf{1}} h(V, \nu_{\Pi}) c_{n^3}(\Pi) \overline{c_b(\Pi)} + \{CSC_{n^3,1}\} \right), \tag{1.1}$$

where (n) is a sum over integral ideals of $\mathbf{K}$ and $b \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}$. Here V is a test function and $h(V, \nu_{\Pi})$ is a Bessel transform of V needed for convergence. CSC denotes the continuous spectrum contribution. We describe these explicitly in section 3.

So when does the symmetric cube L-function have a pole? We follow [KS]. Let Π be a monomial cuspidal representation, or $\Pi \cong \Pi \otimes \eta$, where η is a grossencharacter associated to a quadratic field $L/\mathbf{K}$; η is necessarily of order 2. Then there exists a character χ of $\mathbb{A}_L^*$ that does not factor through the norm map such that Π is induced from χ. We write this as

$\Pi = \Pi(\chi)$. In this case the central character of the representation is $\omega_\Pi = \eta\chi$. Without loss of generality, we can choose χ to be of finite order. Here then

$$L(s, \Pi, sym^3) = L(s, \chi^3)L(s, \chi\chi'),$$

where χ' is the Galois conjugate of χ. Further, $L(s, \Pi, sym^3)$ has poles only at $s = 1$ or 0 iff $\chi^3 = 1$. So we expect nontrivial contributions from (1.1) for families of nontrivial level D and central character η or $\eta(\frac{\cdot}{\cdot})_3$, where η is a quadratic character associated to the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and $(\frac{\cdot}{\cdot})_3$ is the cubic residue character.

There is another case to consider for having poles for the symmetric cube L-function if the representation is not cuspidal; those are the residual Eisenstein series. In the case of the family of automorphic representations we consider, there is a close correspondence, called the Shimura correspondence, with metaplectic representations of the cubic cover of GL_2. From this Shimura correspondence the automorphic representations inherit a residual Eisenstein series, called the Kubota cubic theta function from the metaplectice representations. Much work has been done on this correspondence including [F], [Ku2]. If one investigates the Dirichlet series with Fourier coefficients at cubic powers of the Kubota cubic theta function it has a pole at $s = 3/2$. This is closely associated with Kazhdan and Patterson's Periodicity Theorem [KP], which more or less says the coefficients of the residual Eisenstein series associated to a kth-cover metaplectic representation are invariant under kth-powers.

However, other than the pole coming from residual Eisenstein series, there are no other symmetric cube L-functions that should have poles.

Thus in our case we expect for (1.1) a main term of size $X^{1/2}$ plus a remainder term of size $O(X^{-\delta}), \delta > 0$. The former corresponding to the residual Eisenstein series and the latter to all the other automorphic spectrum.

We get such a result here,

Theorem 1.1. *Let $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$, such that $\int_0^\infty g(t)\sqrt{t}dt = 1$. For a fixed $\epsilon > 0$ and $b \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}$ with b squarefree,*

$$\frac{1}{X}\sum_{(n)} g(\mathbb{N}(n)/X)\left(\sum_{\Pi\neq\mathbf{1}} h(V, \nu_\Pi, p_\Pi)c_{n^3}(\Pi)\overline{c_b(\Pi)} + \{CSC_{n^3,b}\}\right) = h(V, 1/3, 0)K\frac{\tau(b)}{\mathbb{N}(b)^{1/6}}X^{1/2} + O(X^{-\frac{2}{3}}),$$

with K associated to the residue of the cubic power L-function associated to the residual Eisenstein series. Also $\tau(b)$ is the b-th Fourier coefficient of this same residual Eisenstein series or cubic theta function, which is closely associated with the cubic Gauss sum.

Remark 1.2. *Note trivially we have the bound $O(X^2)$ by estimating exponential sums on the geometric side of the trace formula. While the Weil bound on the Kloosterman sum gives $O(X^{\frac{3}{4}})$. So this is a significant cancellation on the geometric side of the trace formula to get to a main term.*

It is interesting to see such isolation of a spectral value, namely an eigenvalue of $s = 4/3$ in the complementary spectrum coming from the Kubota theta function, and the rest of the automorphic spectrum. Usually getting any kind of power savings at all from the main term is an achievement in analytic number theory. It is also a gift that one can unearth the Fourier coefficients for these functions associated to metaplectic representations. It seems a hard problem in metaplectic representation theory for higher degree coverings to know exactly the Fourier coefficients as their is a lack of uniqueness of Whittaker models, which precludes standard Hecke relations, see [P6].

From the theorem we can apply Hecke theory to the above sum to get information on a single symmetric cube L-function. However we need the spectral sum to be finite (for example, representations with eigenvalues in a finite set) to apply this theory. Here we require a hypothesis which is an immediate consequence of the functional equation of the symmetric cube L-function to reduce to such a situation.

Hypothesis 1.3. Assume for any Π, there exists $M_1, M_2 > 0$, such that

$$\frac{1}{X}\sum_{n\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}} g(\mathbb{N}(n)/X)c_{n^3}(\Pi) \ll (1+|\nu_\Pi|)^{M_1}(1+|p_\Pi|)^{M_2} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\{\nu_\Pi, p_\Pi\}$ are the archimedean parameters associated to Π.

Obviously, we would like to prove all the qualities of the symmetric cube L-function via the trace formula, and an assumption on the functional equation seems fairly strong. A similar but much easier question of the trace formula implying the functional equation for the standard L-function is answered in [H]. Regardless, with the hypothesis above we get

Corollary 1.4. *For* Π *a cuspidal automorphic representation with central character the cubic residue character, its associated symmetric cube L-function has analytic continuation to* $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{2}$.

Corollary 1.5. *For* Π *a cuspidal automorphic representation with central character the cubic residue character, the Dirichlet series*

$$\sum_n \frac{c_{n^3}(\Pi)}{\mathbb{N}(n)^s}$$

has analytic continuation to $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{3}$.

It would be nice to use a central character that is quadratic or a quadratic times a cubic character to see monomial representations or a cuspidal symmetric cube L-function having poles, but the exponential sums that occur are not amenable to current technology.

We also attach an appendix to this paper, which studies the same calculation over $\mathbb{Q}$, with trivial central character. The results are only partial there.

2. Outline of Paper

After applying the trace formula to the left hand side of (1.1), we apply Poisson summation to the n-sum. The dual sum we split into 2 cases: the zeroth term and anything else. For the zeroth term we apply techniques of Patterson on cubic Gauss sums, which ultimately, give the error term bound of the theorem. For the non-zero terms of this dual sum, we encounter cubic exponential sums, which in general are difficult to deal with. One of the main tools we use is an identity relating cubic exponential sums to twisted Kloosterman sums. For example, for $c \equiv 1(3), c \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\sum_{k(c)} e\left(\frac{k^3+k}{c}\right) = \sum_{y(c)^*} \left(\frac{y}{c}\right)_3 e\left(\frac{y - \overline{3^3 y}}{c}\right).$$

This is one of two techniques the author knows to do to simplify cubic exponential sums. The other is expressing them into Gauss sums. Quadratic exponential sums, on the other hand, have a wealth of tools to help study them. Curiously, this identity is the cornerstone in proving the Fundamental Lemma of Mao and Rallis [MR].

After the use of this identity, we simplify cubic exponential sums to Ramanujan sums, which we can more easily handle. From here, we still need much more cancellation to get analytic information of the symmetric cube L-function near $s = 3/2$, where one should encounter the residual Eisenstein series. Similar to recent papers in analytic number theory, not only do we need cancellation in the cubic exponential sum, Kloosterman sum, etc..; we need cancellation in the sum over the modulus of these sums.

Thankfully, after the application of the cubic identity, one is left with relatively simple sums, which look more or less like:

$$\sum_{\mathbb{N}(c)\sim X^{3/2}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbb{N}(m)\ll X^{1/2} \\ (m,c)=1}} e(\frac{m^3\overline{3^3}}{c}).$$

Using elementary reciprocity,

$$\frac{\overline{A}}{B} + \frac{\overline{B}}{A} \equiv \frac{1}{AB}(1),$$

we can swap the modulus of the exponential sums and instead investigate a much simpler sum

$$\sum_{\mathbb{N}(c)\sim X^{3/2}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbb{N}(m)\ll X^{1/2} \\ (m,c)=1}} e(\frac{-m^3\overline{c}}{3^3})e(\frac{m^3}{3^3c}).$$

Now the first exponential sum will have large cancellation due to the small modulus 3^3, and the second exponential sum is "flat" and can be included with the other archimedean test functions in the setup of the problem. This is standard technique with such analytic number theory problems, separate the oscillating part of the problem from the "flat" part. After an application of Poisson summation in the c-variable now, the residual Eisenstein series shows up as the zeroth term, which one can think of as the c-sum is being replaced by an integral.

We then through the use of having enough "test" functions reduce to a finite dimensional setting on the spectral side of the trace formula. From there we can apply Hecke operators to isolate a single cuspidal automorphic representation Π. The Theorem's bound now for a single Dirichlet series associated to Π we then associate to analytic information of the corresponding symmetric cube L-function. Unfortunately, we lose information going from the Dirichlet series to the symmetric cube L-function, that is why we only get information to the right of the line $s = 1/2$ rather than the line $s = 1/3$ which we get looking at the cubic power Fourier coefficients from the trace formula.

Lastly in the first appendix, we try understand what is happening for a beyond endoscopy aproach over $\mathbb{Q}$. We can only deal with a small part of the analysis. Again we apply tools of Patterson in [P1], [P2], and [P3]. The analysis seems familiar to that of Heath-Brown and Patterson's result on equidistribution of angles associated to cubic Gauss sums in [HBP].

In the second appendix, we prove the complex version of a result in the appendix of [V] that given a nice test function on the geometric side of the trace formula, the Bessel transforms associated to it on the spectral side of the trace formula are sufficient to reduce the spectral sum to a finite dimensional one.

3. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathbf{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$, with ω a cube root of unity. The ring of integers will be denoted $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}$. Here the discriminant is denoted $D_{\mathbf{K}}$, and the different is generated by $\delta = \sqrt{-3}$. Likewise, define the absolute norm of ideal an c as $\mathbb{N}(c)$. We denote the non-trivial automorphism in this field by $x \to x'$. We use the standard notation for the exponential $e(x) := exp(2\pi i(\frac{x}{\delta} + \frac{x'}{\delta'}))$.

Let

$$\Gamma_1 = \{\gamma \in SL_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}) : \gamma \equiv I(3)\},$$

and

$$\Gamma_*(D) = \{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1 : D|c\}.$$

We use the Kuznetsov trace formula over the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbf{K}$ from [BMo], [L]. We chose to study the automorphic representations that transform by $\Gamma = \Gamma_*(D)$ with $D \equiv 1(3)$ and of central character the cubic residue character. This is very nearly the same family studied in [LP]. For cusps κ, κ', it takes the form

$$\sum_{\Pi \neq \mathbf{1}} h(V, \nu_\Pi, p_\Pi) c_\mu^\kappa(\Pi) \overline{c_\nu^{\kappa'}(\Pi)} + \{CSC_{\mu,\nu}\} = \tag{3.1}$$

$$= \sum_{c \neq 0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(c)} S^{\kappa,\kappa'}(\nu, \mu, c) V(4\pi\sqrt{\mu\nu}/c),$$

where $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}$ and $V(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}^*)$. The transform associated to the archimedean parameters (ν_Π, p_Π) is

$$h(V, \nu_\Pi, p_\Pi) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^*} V(z) B_{\nu_\Pi, p_\Pi}(z) \frac{d^+z}{|z|^2}, \tag{3.2}$$

where d^+z is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}$. Here,

$$B_{\nu,p}(z) = \sin(\pi(\nu - p))^{-1}(|\frac{z}{2}|^{-2\nu}(\frac{iz}{|z|})^{2p} J^*_{-\nu+p}(z) J^*_{-\nu-p}(\overline{z}) - |\frac{z}{2}|^{2\nu}(\frac{iz}{|z|})^{-2p} J^*_{\nu-p}(z) J^*_{\nu+p}(\overline{z}),$$

where $J^*_\mu(z) = J_\mu(z)(\frac{z}{2})^{-\mu}$ and $J_\mu(z)$ is the standard J-Bessel function of index μ. Following [BM] the choice of square root does not matter, provided $\arg\overline{\sqrt{t}} = -\arg\sqrt{t}$.

The Kloosterman sum equals

$$S^{\kappa,\kappa}(\nu, \mu, c) := \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma_\sigma \backslash \Gamma / \Gamma_{\sigma'} \\ c(\gamma) = c}} (\frac{a(\gamma)}{c(\gamma)})_3 e((\frac{\nu d(\gamma) + \mu a(\gamma)}{c})), \tag{3.3}$$

where $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $a = a(\gamma), b = b(\gamma), c = c(\gamma)$, and $d = d(\gamma)$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \sigma\gamma\sigma'^{-1}.$$

4. GEOMETRIC SIDE OF TRACE FORMULA

Let $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$, such that $\int_0^\infty g(x)dx = 1$. The Kuznetsov trace formula gives us that (1.1) equals

$$\frac{1}{X} \sum_{(n)} g(\mathbb{N}(n)/X) \sum_{c \neq 0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(c)} S^{\kappa,\kappa}(n^3, b, c) V(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{n^3 b}}{c}) \tag{4.1}$$

We now refer to a explicit calculation of the Kloosterman sum following [LP]. We consider the cusp $1-D$ which shall be represented as $\kappa^{-1}(\infty)$ with $\kappa^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} D-1 & l \\ 1 & m \end{pmatrix}$ with $l \equiv 1(3)$ and $m \equiv 0(3)$. Let κ' be the identity matrix, then following Proposition 5.1 of [LP]

Proposition 4.1. *For any c, the sum $S^{\kappa,\kappa}(\nu,\mu,c)$ is zero unless $c \equiv 1(3)$, in which case we have*

$$S^{\kappa,\kappa}(\nu,\mu,c)=\sum_{a(c)^*} e(\frac{\mu a+\nu\overline{a}}{c})(\frac{a}{c})_3 := S_3(\mu,\nu,c).$$

Here $\overline{x}$ is the multiplicative inverse of $x(c)$.

We now break up the Kloosterman sums and gather all the n-terms to get,

$$\sum_{c\equiv 1(3)} \frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(c)} \sum_{x(c)^*} (\frac{x}{c})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c}) \left\{ \sum_{(n)} e(\frac{n^3 x}{c}) g(\mathbb{N}(n)/X) V(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{n^3}}{c}) \right\}. \tag{4.2}$$

To apply Poisson summation in the n-variable, we now "unfold' the sum over the ideals (n) to the integers of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}$. Analytically, this is harmless as there are only finitely many units in this field.

As the term in brackets in (4.2) is a smooth function, we can apply Poisson summation to the n-sum in residue classes mod c to get,

$$\frac{1}{X\sqrt{D_{\mathbf{K}}}} \sum_{c\equiv 1(3)} \frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(c)^2} \sum_{x(c)^*} (\frac{x}{c})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c}) \left\{ \sum_{m} \sum_{k(c)} e(\frac{xk^3-mk}{c}) \int_{\mathbb{C}} e(\frac{-tm}{c}) g(t/X) V(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{t^3}}{c}) dt \right\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Here for simplicity of notation we write $g(t/X) := g(t_1t_2/X)$ and $dt := dt_1dt_2$. In later sections when we need detailed information on the integral, we will be very explicit with the integration parameters.

Change of variables $t_i \to \sqrt{X}t_i, i = 1,2$, gives

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{D_{\mathbf{K}}}} \sum_{c} \frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(c)^2} \sum_{x(c)^*} (\frac{x}{c})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c}) \left\{ \sum_{m} \sum_{k(c)} e(\frac{xk^3-mk}{c}) \int_{\mathbb{C}} e(\frac{-t\sqrt{X}m}{c}) g(t) V(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{(\sqrt{X}t)^3}}{c}) dt \right\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Since g and V are of compact support, $\mathbb{N}(c) \sim X^{3/2}$. Thus, it is sufficient to study (4.4) as

$$\frac{1}{X^{3/2}} \sum_{c} \frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(c)} \sum_{x(c)^*} (\frac{x}{c})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c}) \sum_{m} \sum_{k(c)} e(\frac{xk^3-mk}{c}) W_m(\frac{c}{X^{3/4}}), \tag{4.5}$$

where $W_m(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e(\frac{-tm}{X^{1/4}y}) g(t) V(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{t^3}}{y}) dt$. Notice the terms $\mathbb{N}(m) > \sqrt{X}$ are negligible by integration by parts in the t-variable. Negligible in this case means a bound

$$\frac{1}{X^{3/2}} \sum_{c} \frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(c)} \sum_{x(c)^*} (\frac{x}{c})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c}) \sum_{\mathbb{N}(m)>\sqrt{X}} \sum_{k(c)} e(\frac{xk^3-mk}{c}) W_m(\frac{c}{X^{3/4}}) = O(X^{-A}), \tag{4.6}$$

for any positive integer $A > 0$. Trivially we have the bound $O(X^2)$ for (4.5). While applying the Weil bound on the Kloosterman sum of (4.1), see [V]:

$$S_3(r,s,c) \ll_{\mathbf{K}} (r,s,c)^{1/2}\mathbb{N}(c)^{1/2}, \tag{4.7}$$

gives the bound $O(X^{\frac{3}{4}})$.

5. Using a Cubic identity

Our focus is on

$$\frac{1}{X^{3/2}} \sum_{\substack{c\equiv 1(3)\\ c\equiv 0(D)}} \sum_{m} \frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(c)} \sum_{x(c)^*} (\frac{x}{c})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c}) \sum_{k(c)} e(\frac{xk^3-mk}{c}) \mathfrak{W}_m(\frac{\mathbb{N}(c)}{X^{3/2}}), \tag{5.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{W}_m$ is the same as W_m it just helps us locate that $\mathbb{N}(c) \sim X^{3/2}$.

It is key to understand

$$\sum_{k(c)} e(\frac{xk^3-mk}{c}).$$

Let us define $T(A,B,c) := \sum_{x(c)} e(\frac{Ax^3+Bx}{c})$.

We break this into 2 cases: $m = 0$ and $m \neq 0$.

5.1. The case of $m = 0$.

We prove in this subsection,

Proposition 5.1.

$$\frac{1}{X^{3/2}} \sum_{\substack{c\equiv 1(3)\\ c\equiv 0(D)}} \frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(c)} \sum_{x(c)^*} (\frac{x}{c})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c}) \sum_{k(c)} e(\frac{xk^3}{c}) \mathfrak{W}_0(\frac{\mathbb{N}(c)}{X^{3/2}}) = O(X^{-2/3}). \tag{5.2}$$

So this is significantly smaller then the bound of Theorem 1.1.

The arithmetic to study in this case is

$$\sum_{x(c)^*} (\frac{x}{c})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c}) T(x,0,c). \tag{5.3}$$

We reduce the study to prime power modulus by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let* $c = c_1c_2, (c_1,c_2) = 1,$ *and* $(A,c) = 1,$ *then*

$$T(A,B,c_1c_2) = T(Ac_2^2,B,c_1)T(Ac_1^2,B,c_2).$$

Proof. This is a direct application of Chinese remainder theorem. □

Now we state Lemma 8.7 from [LP].

Lemma 5.3. *Let* $p \nmid 3A$, *then*

$$T(A,0,p^{k+3}) = \mathbb{N}(p)^2 T(A,0,p^k).$$

Using the above 2 lemmas we can reduce study of (5.3) to

$$\sum_{x(p^k)^*} (\frac{x}{p^k})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{p^k}) T(zx,0,p^k) = \mathbb{N}(p)^{2l} \sum_{x(p^k)^*} (\frac{x}{p^k})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{p^k}) T(zx,0,p^h), \tag{5.4}$$

where $(z,p) = 1, k = h + 3l, h(3)$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $k > 1$, with $k = h + 3l, h(3)$. For $(z, p) = 1$,*

$$\sum_{x(p^k)^*} (\frac{x}{p^k})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{p^k}) T(zx, 0, p^h) = 0.$$

Proof. We first note the fact from [BY] that

$$g(r, p^k) := \sum_{x(p^k)^*} (\frac{x}{p^k})_3 e(\frac{rx}{p^k}) = 0$$

for $(r, p) = 1$ and $k > 1$. Thus for $h = 0$, we are done. For $h = 1$, from [LP]

$$T(zx, 0, p) = g(zx, p) + \overline{g(zx, p)}. \tag{5.5}$$

A change of variables in the Gauss sum and the conjugate Gauss gives

$$\overline{(\frac{zx}{p})}_3 g(1, p) + (\frac{zx}{p})_3 \overline{g(1, p)}.$$

The cubic residue character has conductor p, so either the sum over $x(p^k)^*$ is a Ramanujan sum or a Gauss sum, both of which are zero. If $h = 2$ then by [LP] $T(xz, 0, p^2) = \mathbb{N}(p)$, so again the Gauss sum is zero.

□

Thus the study of (5.3) is reduced to squarefree modulus.

Now by Proposition 8.1 in [LP] for $(A, c) = 1$,

$$T(A, 0, c) = \sum_{c_1 c_2 c_3^3 = c} g(A, c_1) \overline{g(A, c_2)} \mathbb{N}(c_3)^2.$$

Incorporating this into (5.3), we have

$$\sum_{c_1 c_2 = c} \sum_{x(c)^*} (\frac{x}{c})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c}) g(x, c_1) \overline{g(x, c_2)}. \tag{5.6}$$

With a change of variables this reduces to

$$\sum_{c_1 c_2 = c} g(1, c_1) \overline{g(1, c_2)} \sum_{x(c)^*} (\frac{x^2}{c_2})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c}).$$

Now

$$\sum_{x(c)^*} (\frac{x^2}{c_2})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c}) = \mu(c_1) g(b, c_2),$$

by an application of the Chinese remainder theorem. This reduces (5.3) to

$$\sum_{c_1 c_2 = c} \mu(c_1) g(b, c_1) \mathbb{N}(c_2).$$

Proof. {*Proposition 5.1*} By use of the previous lemmas, (5.2) equals

$$\frac{1}{X^{3/2}} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\substack{c \equiv 1(3) \\ c \equiv 0(D)}} \sum_{c_1 c_2 = c} \frac{\mu(c_1) g(b, c_1)}{\mathbb{N}(c_1)} \int_{\mathbb{C}} g(t) V(\frac{\sqrt{t^3 X^3}}{c}) dt, \tag{5.7}$$

where $\sum^*$ indicates only squarefree numbers.

This term is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{X^{3/2}}\left(\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\substack{c_1\equiv 1(3)\\ c_1\equiv 0(D)\\ \mathbb{N}(c_1)\leq X^{3/2}}}\frac{\mu(c_1)g(b,c_1)}{\mathbb{N}(c_1)}\right)\left(\sum_{\mathbb{N}(c_2)\leq\frac{X^{3/2}}{N(c_1)}}1\right). \tag{5.8}$$

We trivially bound the Mobius function and use the theorem of Patterson [P5]. Let $T(s,\mu)=\sum_{\substack{c\in\mathbb{Z}[\omega]\\ c\equiv 1(3)}}\frac{g(\mu,c)}{N(c)^s}$.

Theorem 5.5. *$T(s,\mu)$ converges absolutely if $\Re(s)>1$ and can be continued to a meromorphic function in the entire plane. $T(s,\mu)$ is regular in the half plane $\Re(s)\geq 4/3$ except for a possible pole at $s=4/3$.*

Applying this theorem gets the bound $O(X^{-2/3})$ for (5.8). This will be the dominant term other than term coming from the residual Eisenstein series of size $O(X^{1/2})$, which we unearth from the terms with $m\neq 0$ in the following sections. □

5.2. **The case of $m\neq 0$.** In this case we first need to deal with cubic exponential sums $T(A,B,c)$ with $(AB,c)>1$. The identity we ultimately want to apply is applicable when $(AB,c)=1$, so we need to reduce to such case. Reducing to a prime modulus by Lemma 5.2, we have the following local calculation:

Lemma 5.6. *Let $(AB,p)=1$ then*

$$\sum_{x(p^k)}e(\frac{Ax^3-p^jBx}{p^k})=\begin{cases}\mathbb{N}(p)^jT(A,B,p^{k-3j/2}), & \textit{if } j\leq k/2 \textit{ and } j \textit{ is even}\\[2ex] \mathbb{N}(p)^jT(A,B,p^{k-(3j+1)/2}), & j\leq k/2 \textit{ and } j \textit{ is odd}\\[2ex] \mathbb{N}(p)^{k/2}T(A,Bp^{h+\lfloor k/4\rfloor-\lceil k/4\rceil},p^{k-3\lceil k/4\rceil}), & j=h+k/2, 0<h\leq k/4, k\in 2\mathbb{N}\\[2ex] \mathbb{N}(p)^{k/2}T(A,0,p^{k-3\lceil k/4\rceil}), & j=h+k/2, h>k/4, k\in 2\mathbb{N}\\[2ex] \mathbb{N}(p)^{(k+1)/2}T(A,0,p^{\lfloor (k-3)/4\rfloor}), & j=h+(k+1)/2,\\ & h\geq\lfloor (k-3)/4\rfloor, k \textit{ odd}\\ \mathbb{N}(p)^{(k+1)/2}T(A,Bp^{h+\lfloor (k+1)/4\rfloor-\lceil (k+1)/4\rceil},p^{\lfloor (k-3)/4\rfloor}), & j=h+(k+1)/2,\\ & h<\lfloor (k-3)/4\rfloor, k \textit{ odd.}\end{cases}$$

Proof. Take the case $j\leq k/2$. Then we can rewrite

$$\sum_{x(p^k)}e(\frac{Ax^3-p^jBx}{p^k})=p^j\sum_{\substack{a(p^{k-j})\\ 3a^2\equiv 0(p^j)}}e(\frac{Aa^3+p^jBa}{p^k}),$$

using $x=a+p^{k-j}b$ with $a(p^{k-j}),b(p^j)$. Since $j=2l$, $l\in\mathbb{N}$, $a=p^ly,y(p^{k-3l})$. Incorporating this into the cubic exponential sum we have $\mathbb{N}(p)^jT(A,B,p^{k-3j/2})$. Similarly, the same procedure for $j\leq k/2$ and j odd gives $\mathbb{N}(p)^jT(A,B,p^{k-(3j+1)/2})$. Note here if $k=2,j=1$ then the sum is one.

Now we break the cases of $j > k/2$ into cases where k is even and odd, respectively. Suppose first k is even, then

$$\sum_{x(p^k)} e(\frac{Ax^3 - p^j Bx}{p^k}) = \mathbb{N}(p)^{k/2} \sum_{\substack{a(p^{k/2}) \\ 3a^2 \equiv 0(p^{k/2})}} e(\frac{Aa^3 + p^j Ba}{p^k}).$$

Then $a = p^{\lceil k/4 \rceil} y, y(p^{k/2 - \lceil k/4 \rceil})$. Now if $j = h + k/2$, $h > k/4$, the sum reduces to $\mathbb{N}(p)^{k/2} T(A, 0, p^{k-3\lceil k/4 \rceil})$. If $h \leq k/4$, one gets

$$\mathbb{N}(p)^{k/2} T(Ap^{3\lceil k/4 \rceil}, Bp^{h+k/2+\lceil k/4 \rceil}, p^k).$$

This reduces to

$$\mathbb{N}(p)^{k/2} T(A, Bp^{h+\lfloor k/4 \rfloor + \lceil k/4 \rceil}, p^{k-3\lceil k/4 \rceil}).$$

The last equality following from

$$k/2 + \lceil k/4 \rceil - 3\lceil k/4 \rceil = k/2 - \lceil k/4 \rceil - \lceil k/4 \rceil = \lfloor k/4 \rfloor - \lceil k/4 \rceil.$$

The last equation is -1 if $k \neq 4q, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and 0 else.

If k is odd, one uses the decomposition $x = a + p^{\frac{k-1}{2}} b$ to get

$$\sum_{a(p^{(k-1)/2})} e(\frac{a^3 + p^j a}{p^k}) \sum_{b(p^{(k+1)/2})} e(\frac{3(a^2 b + p^{k-1} ab^2}{p^{(k+1)/2}}).$$

Since k is odd, $e(\frac{p^{k-1}ab^2}{p^{(k+1)/2}}) = 1$. Now if $(a, p) = 1$ the internal sum is 0. If $a = p^l y$, with $l < \frac{k+1}{4}$ then again the internal sum is zero. If $l \geq \frac{k+1}{4}$, then writing the $j = h + \frac{k+1}{2}, 1 \leq h \leq \frac{k-1}{2}$, the internal sum is $\mathbb{N}(p)^{\frac{k+1}{2}}$, so the sum in the a variable

$$\mathbb{N}(p)^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \sum_{a \equiv p^{\lceil (k+1)/4 \rceil} y(p^{(k-1)/2}} e(\frac{p^{3\lceil (k+1)/4 \rceil} y^3 + p^{(k+1)/2 + h + \lceil (k+1)/4 \rceil} y}{p^k}).$$

Then this equals

$$\mathbb{N}(p)^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \sum_{y(p^{\lfloor (k-3)/4 \rfloor})} e(\frac{Ay^3 + p^{h + \lfloor (k+1)/4 \rfloor - \lceil (k+1)/4 \rceil} y}{p^{\lfloor (k-3)/4 \rfloor}}),$$

by a similar argument to when k is even above.

So if $h \geq \lfloor (k-3)/4 \rfloor$, then we get

$$\mathbb{N}(p)^{\frac{k+1}{2}} T(A, 0, p^{\lfloor (k-3)/4 \rfloor}).$$

And else,

$$\mathbb{N}(p)^{\frac{k+1}{2}} T(A, Bp^{h + \lfloor (k+1)/4 \rfloor - \lceil (k+1)/4 \rceil}, p^{\lfloor (k-3)/4 \rfloor}).$$

□

We now state an identity studied by several authors [DI], [K], [LP], [P4], and [Y].

Theorem 5.7. *Let* $(xm, c) = 1$, *then*

$$\sum_{k(c)} e(\frac{xk^3 + mk}{c}) = \sum_{y(c)^*} (\frac{\overline{x} y}{c})_3 e(\frac{y - m^3 \overline{3^3 xy}}{c}). \tag{5.9}$$

This is a beautiful identity relating a cubic exponential to a twisted Kloosterman sum. As mentioned, [MR] state this identity is equivalent to the Fundamental Lemma in the problem they study. The reason we choose our field to contain the cube roots of unity, is this identity does not seem to exist for primes $p \equiv 2(3)$. There should be some analogue so as one can study the problem over $\mathbb{Q}$.

The next part of the paper is very technical, and on a first read through, one should keep in mind $b = 1$. Then one only needs Proposition 5.8. However, we want to employ Hecke operators to isolate a single representation on the spectral side of the trace formula, and for that we need an arbitrary Fourier coefficient $c_b(\Pi)$. This is why we need to deal with the "ramified" cases in Proposition 5.9.

We now use Lemma 5.6 and Theorem 5.7 to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.8. *{Unramified Case} Let* $1 \leq j < k, (wB, p) = 1$. *Suppose* $(b, p) = 1$, *then*

$$\sum_{A(p^k)^*} (\frac{A}{p^k})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{A}}{p^k}) \sum_{x(p^k)} e(\frac{Awx^3 + p^j Bx}{p^k}) = 0. \tag{5.10}$$

Likewise if $(b, p) > 1$, *but* $j = 0$, *then one has the same result.*

Proof. We deal with the first case of Lemma 5.6. Using Theorem 5.7,

$$\mathbb{N}(p)^j T(Aw, B, p^{k-3j/2}) = \mathbb{N}(p)^j \sum_{y(p^{k-3j/2})^*} (\frac{\overline{Aw}y}{p^{k-3j/2}})_3 e(\frac{y - B^3\overline{3^3 Awy}}{p^{k-3j/2}}).$$

Gathering the A-sum in (5.10), we have

$$\sum_{A(p^k)^*} (\frac{A}{p^k})_3 (\frac{\overline{Aw}y}{p^{k-3j/2}})_3 e(\frac{\overline{Aw}(b - B^3 p^{3j/2}\overline{3^3}y)}{p^k}).$$

Then since $(b - B^3 p^{3j/2}\overline{3^3}y, p) = 1$, we use the fact from [BY] that

$$g(r, p^k) := \sum_{x(p^k)^*} (\frac{x}{p^k})_3 e(\frac{rx}{p^k}) = 0$$

for $(r, p) = 1$ and $k > 1$. If $(b, p) > 1$ but $j = 0$, then again $(b - B^3\overline{3^3}y, p) = 1$, and by the same argument we are done. The other cases are analogous, as we just are applying over and over again that a Ramanujan sum is zero if the modulus is a prime power.

□

The general case for $(b, p) > 1$ is more difficult. In the proposition below, we will not be too specific with the answer when it is non-zero as it will not matter in the later calculation. It is more important to admit these non-zero cases exist.

Proposition 5.9. *{Ramified Case} Let* $1 \leq j < k, (wB, p) = 1$. *Suppose* $b = \hat{b}p^l, (\hat{b}, p) = 1$, *then to have a non-zero contribution one must have* $k = l + 1$, *and for these cases*

$$\sum_{A(p^k)^*} (\frac{A}{p^k})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{A}}{p^k}) \sum_{x(p^k)} e(\frac{Awx^3 + p^j Bx}{p^k}) = \mathbb{N}(p)^{(l+1)/2} H, \tag{5.11}$$

where either $H = (\frac{w}{p})_3 g(1, p)\mu(p) + \mathbb{N}(p)(\frac{w^2\hat{b}}{p})_3, \phi(p)^2$, *or* $\mu(p)\phi(p)$. *If* b *is squarefree, then the ramified case has zero contribution.*

Proof. Following the above Proposition, one gathers the A-sum. It can be checked that for each case of Lemma 5.6, the only nonzero cases are when $k = l + 1$. Again this comes from the fact that the associated Gauss or Ramanujan sum are only non-zero when the modulus is squarefree. The sum to consider is

$$\sum_{A(p^{l+1})^*} (\frac{A}{p})_3 e(\frac{\hat{b}\overline{A}}{p}) \sum_{x(p^{l+1})} e(\frac{Awx^3 + p^j Bx}{p^{l+1}}). \tag{5.12}$$

One needs to know from Lemma 5.6 what j's give non-zero contribution. For such an l the first 2 cases are all zero by an easy check, except when for the first case $k = 4, j = 2$, and for the second $k = 6, j = 3$. These will reduce after using Theorem 5.7 to either $\mu(p)\phi(p)$ or $\phi(p)^2$ depending on if $\hat{b} \equiv B^3\overline{3^3 y}(p)$, where y parametrizes the new sum coming from using the mentioned theorem.

The fourth case will have modulus with power > 1, except when $[k = 2n, j = 2n - 1]$, for $1 < n \leq 6$. For these non-zero cases by a change of variables and using (5.5), the sum reduces to

$$\mathbb{N}(p)^{2n} \left[(\frac{w}{p})_3 g(1,p)\mu(p) + \mathbb{N}(p)(\frac{w^2\hat{b}}{p})_3 \right]. \tag{5.13}$$

For the fifth case an analogous argument to the fourth case gives a non-zero contribution for $k = 3, 5$ with the sum equaling $\mathbb{N}(p)^{(k+1)/2)}(\frac{\hat{b}}{p})_3 g(1,p)$. While for $k = 7, 9$, one has

$$\mathbb{N}(p)^{(k+1)/2} \left[(\frac{w}{p})_3 g(1,p)\mu(p) + \mathbb{N}(p)(\frac{w^2\hat{b}}{p})_3 \right]. \tag{5.14}$$

Other k give zero contribution as the modulus has power > 1.

We turn to the third and sixth cases. For the third case, again note $\lfloor m/4 \rfloor - \lceil m/4 \rceil = \{0, -1\}$. If one considers $h = \lfloor (l+1)/4 \rfloor, \lfloor (l+1)/4 - 1 \rfloor$, then either the reduced modulus is p^0 or p^1, which are the only ones that can contribute. Indeed, for the third case consider $l + 1 \equiv 0(4)$, then the sum reduces to

$$\sum_{x(p^{(l+1)/4})} e(\frac{Ax^3}{p^{(l+1)/4}}) = 0, \tag{5.15}$$

unless $l = 3$. If $l = 3$ from the third case then our reduced sum is

$$\sum_{A(p^{l+1})^*} (\frac{A}{p^k})_3 e(\frac{\hat{b}\overline{A}}{p}) \sum_{x(p)} e(\frac{Ax^3}{p}).$$

Similar to (5.13) the sum reduces to

$$\mathbb{N}(p)^2 \left[(\frac{w}{p})_3 g(1,p)\mu(p) + \mathbb{N}(p)(\frac{w^2\hat{b}}{p})_3 \right]. \tag{5.16}$$

If $l + 1 \equiv a(4), a \neq 0$, then we investigate the power of p attached to the coefficient B in Lemma 5.6. By inspection, it is $\frac{p^{\lfloor (l+1)/4 \rfloor - 1}}{p^{l+1-3\lceil (l+1)/4 \rceil}}$. This reduces to p^0 using $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + \lceil m/2 \rceil = m$. So again we can use (5.15) to show the whole sum is zero unless $l + 1 - 3\lceil (l+1)/4 \rceil = 1$. If it is non-zero, then we can mimic what we did to get (5.13). These give non-zero contributions and are the only cases.

The sixth case is analogous to the third case, here the only contribution comes from $h = \lfloor (k-3)/4 \rfloor - 1$, with non zero contributions the same as the cases above up to a power of $\mathbb{N}(p)$.

Notice after all the cases, that only $k = 2$ would have a non-zero contribution for squarefree b and by above, this is zero.

$\square$

5.3. **Reduction from cubic exponential sums to Ramanujan sums.** Let us look at the case $(bm, c) = 1, m \neq 0$.

Incorporating the identity of Theorem 5.7 into the opened Kloosterman sum in (5.1) we have

$$\sum_{x(c)^*} (\frac{x}{c})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c}) \sum_{k(c)} e(\frac{xk^3 + mk}{c}) = \tag{5.17}$$

$$\sum_{x(c)^*} (\frac{x}{c})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c}) \sum_{y(c)^*} (\frac{\overline{x}y}{c})_3 e(\frac{y - m^3\overline{3^3y}\overline{x}}{c}) =$$

$$\sum_{y(c)^*} (\frac{y}{c})_3 e(\frac{y}{c}) \sum_{x(c)^*} e(\frac{x(b - m^3\overline{3^3y})}{c}).$$

So what the identity did is take complicated cubic exponential sums to manageable Ramanujan sums!

But now we must also address the "ramified" situations. Now by Propositions 5.8 and 5.9 to have a non-zero contribution when $(m, c) > 1$, we must have $(b, m, c) > 1$, say $(b, m, c) = p^i$. If $p^l || b$, then by Proposition 5.9, $p^{l+1} || c$ for a non-zero contribution. Then by a similar calculation to when $(m, c) = 1$, we have by Chinese remainder theorem,

$$\sum_{x(c)^*} (\frac{x}{c})_3 e(\frac{\overline{bx}}{c}) \sum_{k(c)} e(\frac{xk^3 + mk}{c}) = \tag{5.18}$$

$$[\sum_{y(\hat{c})^*} (\frac{y}{\hat{c}})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{y}}{\hat{c}}) \sum_{k(\hat{c})} e(\frac{p^{2(l+1)}yk^3 + mk}{\hat{c}})] [\sum_{z(p^{l+1})^*} (\frac{z}{p^{l+1}})_3 e(\frac{b\overline{z}}{p^{l+1}}) \sum_{k(p^{l+1})} e(\frac{\hat{c}^2 zk^3 + mk}{p^{l+1}})] =$$

$$[(\frac{\overline{p^{2(l+1)}}}{\hat{c}})_3 \sum_{t(\hat{c})^*} (\frac{t}{\hat{c}})_3 e(\frac{t}{\hat{c}}) \sum_{y(\hat{c})^*} e(\frac{y(b - m^3\overline{3^3p^{2(l+1)}t})}{\hat{c}})] \left[\mathbb{N}(p)^{l/2} \left[(\frac{\hat{c}}{p})_3 g(1,p)\mu(p) + \mathbb{N}(p)(\frac{\hat{c}^2\hat{b}}{p})_3 \right] \right].$$

By multiplicativity one can do the same decomposition for $(b, m, c) = \prod_i p_i^{l_i}$, a product of primes. So we can extract the problematic case and therefore reduce to the case when $(bm, c) = 1$.

Remark 5.10. *Notice how important the central character being the cubic residue character is. If we chose another character say the cubic residue character times a quadratic character then we encounter Jacobi-like sums twisted by an additive character. For example, one sees the sum*

$$F(a, c) := \sum_{x(c)} (\frac{x}{c})_3 (\frac{1-x}{c})_2 e(\frac{ax}{c}).$$

Ideally one would like to get cancellation in such a sum over the modulus c, but they are not something the author has encountered in the literature.

Now we simplify (5.17).

Lemma 5.11. *If* $(bm, c) = 1$, *then* (5.17) *equals*

$$\sum_{y(c)^*} (\frac{y}{c})_3 e(\frac{y}{c}) \sum_{x(c)^*} e(\frac{x(b - m^3\overline{3^3 y})}{c}) = \sum_{q|c} \mu(\frac{c}{q}) \mathbb{N}(q) \sum_{\substack{y(c)^* \\ y \equiv \overline{b}(q)}} (\frac{y}{c})_3 e(\frac{y\overline{3^3}m^3}{c}).$$

Proof. The interior sum is a Ramanujan sum, hence is equal to

$$\sum_{q|(c, b - m^3\overline{3^3 y})} \mu(\frac{c}{q}) \mathbb{N}(q).$$

Inverting the q and y-sum and a change of variables gives the result. ☐

We now prove the y-sum is multiplicative in c and q.

Lemma 5.12. *Let* $c = df, (d, f) = 1$ *and* $q|c, q = wv$, *where* $w|d, v|f$. *Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{y(c)^* \\ y \equiv \overline{b}(q)}} (\frac{y}{c})_3 e(\frac{y\overline{3^3}m^3}{c}) = (\frac{d}{e})_3 (\frac{e}{d})_3 \left[\sum_{\substack{x(d)^* \\ x \equiv \overline{b}(w)}} (\frac{x}{d})_3 e(\frac{x\overline{3^3}m^3}{d}) \right] \left[\sum_{\substack{z(f)^* \\ z \equiv \overline{b}(v)}} (\frac{y}{f})_3 e(\frac{y\overline{3^3}m^3}{f}) \right].$$

Proof. This is an application of the Chinese Remainder Theorem. This is the same proof as for a normal Gauss sum, but we point out for the usual bijection $y \mod df \to (x \mod d, z \mod f)$ via $y = f\overline{f}x + d\overline{d}z$, where $\overline{d}d \equiv 1(f), \overline{f}f \equiv 1(d)$, the congruence

$$y \equiv f\overline{f}x + d\overline{d}z \equiv 1(q)$$

implies

$$f\overline{f}x \equiv 1(w).$$

Further $f\overline{f} \equiv 1(w)$, hence the result. ☐

We now can focus on a prime power modulus.

Let $c = p^k$ and $q = p^r, 0 \leq r \leq k$.

Lemma 5.13. *If* $(bm, p) = 1$, *then*

$$\sum_{\substack{y(p^k) \\ y \equiv b(p^r)}} (\frac{y}{p^k})_3 e(\frac{y\overline{3^3}m^3}{p^k}) = 0$$

unless $r = k$.

Proof. The cubic residue character is a primitive character of conductor p, hence the sum is

$$(\frac{b}{p^k})_3 e(\frac{\overline{3^3}bm^3}{p^{k-r}}) \sum_{l(p^{k-r})} e(\frac{l\overline{b3^3}m^3}{p^{k-r}}) = 0,$$

as $(m, p) = 1$. ☐

Thus only $q = c$ contributes to (5.1), and the LHS of Lemma 5.11 equals $\mathbb{N}(c)(\frac{b}{c})_3 e(\frac{m^3\overline{b3^3}}{c})$!

What is left over after after the use of this lemma to (5.1)? Write $b = \prod_i p_i^{l_i}$, then we can rewrite the equation using Proposition 5.9 as

$$\begin{aligned}(5.19)\quad &\frac{1}{X^{3/2}}\Big[\sum_{\substack{c\equiv1(3)\\ c\equiv0(D)\\ (c,b)=1}}\ \sum_{\substack{m\\ (m,c)=1}}\frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(c)}\sum_{x(c)^*}(\frac{x}{c})_3e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c})\sum_{k(c)}e(\frac{xk^3-mk}{c})\mathfrak{W}_m(\frac{\mathbb{N}(c)}{X^{3/2}})+\\
&\qquad\sum_{\substack{c\equiv1(3)\\ c\equiv0(D)\\ (c,b)>1}}\ \sum_{m}\frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(c)}\sum_{x(c)^*}(\frac{x}{c})_3e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c})\sum_{k(c)}e(\frac{xk^3-mk}{c})\mathfrak{W}_m(\frac{\mathbb{N}(c)}{X^{3/2}})\Big]=\\
&\quad\frac{1}{X^{3/2}}\Big[\sum_{\substack{c\equiv1(3)\\ c\equiv0(D)\\ (c,b)=1}}\ \sum_{\substack{m\\ (m,c)=1}}\frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(c)}\sum_{x(c)^*}(\frac{x}{c})_3e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c})\sum_{k(c)}e(\frac{xk^3-mk}{c})\mathfrak{W}_m(\frac{\mathbb{N}(c)}{X^{3/2}})+\\
&\qquad\qquad\prod_i H_i\sum_{\substack{c\equiv1(3)\\ c\equiv0(D)\\ (c,b)=1}}\ \sum_{\substack{m\\ (m,c)=1}}\frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(c)}\sum_{x(c)^*}(\frac{x}{c})_3e(\frac{b\overline{x}}{c})\sum_{k(c)}e(\frac{xk^3-mk}{c})\mathfrak{W}_m(\frac{\mathbb{N}(c)}{X^{3/2}})\Big],\end{aligned}$$

where H_i could be 1 or any of the H of Proposition 5.9.

Note if b is squarefree then one does not need to restrict the c-sum to be coprime or not to b by using Proposition 5.9.

The point being up to these factors H_i, we are in an ”unramified“ case where $(mb,c)=1$. Assuming b is squarefree, we know now after using Theorem 5.7 and above lemmas that (5.19) is

$$(5.20)\qquad \frac{1}{X^{3/2}}\sum_{\substack{c\equiv1(3)\\ c\equiv0(D)}}(\frac{b}{c})_3\sum_{(m,c)=1}e(\frac{m^3\overline{b3^3}}{c})\mathfrak{W}_m(\frac{c}{X^{3/2}})+O(X^{-2/3}).$$

Remember the error term comes from the $m=0$ calculation in section 5.1.

Notice trivially we have the bound $O(\sqrt{X})$ for (5.20), this is in fact the correct size of the main term. In the next sections we show that with an execution of the c-sum.

6. EXECUTING THE c-SUM

Using elementary reciprocity:

$$\frac{\overline{A}}{B}+\frac{\overline{B}}{A}\equiv\frac{1}{AB}(1),$$

we have

$$(6.1)\qquad e(\frac{m^3\overline{3^3b}}{c})=e(\frac{-m^3\overline{c}}{3^3b})e(\frac{m^3}{3^3cb}).$$

Assuming $b\equiv\pm1(3)$, we can use cubic reciprocity to get (5.20) equal to

$$(6.2)\qquad \sum_{\substack{c\equiv1(3)\\ c\equiv0(D)}}\frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(c)}(\frac{c}{b})_3\sum_{(m,c)=1}e(\frac{-m^3\overline{c}}{b3^3})e(\frac{m^3}{3^3bc})\int_{\mathbb{C}}e(\frac{-tXm}{c})g(t)V(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{(Xt)^3b}}{c})dt$$

As $\mathbb{N}(c) \sim X^{3/2}$ and $\mathbb{N}(m) \ll X^{1/2}$, one could say that $e(\frac{m^3}{3^3bc})$ is a "flat" function which will not create any oscillation.

We detect the congruence condition on the c-sum by characters modulo 3,

$$\frac{1}{\phi(3)}\sum_{\psi(3)}\sum_{c}\frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(Dc)}\psi(Dc)(\frac{Dc}{b})_3\sum_{(m,Dc)=1}e(\frac{-m^3\overline{Dc}}{b3^3})e(\frac{m^3}{3^3bDc})\int_{\mathbb{C}}e(\frac{-tXm}{Dc})g(t)V(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{(Xt)^3b}}{Dc})dt. \tag{6.3}$$

Mobius inversion removes the coprimality condition on the c-sum leaving(after inverting sums)

$$\frac{1}{\phi(3)}\sum_{\psi(3)}\frac{(\frac{D}{b})_3\psi(D)}{\mathbb{N}(D)}\sum_{l}\frac{\mu(l)(\frac{l}{b})_3\psi(l)}{\mathbb{N}(l)}\sum_{c}\frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(c)}\psi(c)(\frac{c}{b})_3\sum_{m}e(\frac{-(lm)^3\overline{Dlc}}{b3^3})e(\frac{(lm)^3}{3^3bDlc})\times \tag{6.4}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}}e(\frac{-tXm}{Dc})g(t)V(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{(Xt)^3b}}{Dlc})dt.$$

For reasons later we break the m-sum into arithmetic progressions modulo 3. We denote these as $m \equiv 0(3)$ and $m \equiv \pm 1(3)$. For now we fix a residue class $m \equiv a(3)$ and perform Poisson summation on c modulo 3^3b to get just the c-sum equal to

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(3^3b)}\sum_{k\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}}\Big[\sum_{y(3^3b)}\psi(y)(\frac{y}{b})_3e(\frac{-(ml)^3\overline{Dly}}{b3^3})e(\frac{-ky}{3^3b})\Big]\times \tag{6.5}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}}e(\frac{(lm)^3}{3^3bDlz})e(\frac{-tXm}{Dz})g(t)e(\frac{-kz}{3^3b})V(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{(Xt)^3b}}{Dlz})dt\frac{d^+z}{|z|^2}.$$

An easy integration by parts argument in the z-variable shows that if $k \neq 0$ the integral is of size $O(X^{-N})$ for any integer N. So only the $k = 0$ term contributes and we are left with

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(3^3b)}\sum_{\substack{(m,c)=1\\ m\equiv a(3)}}\Big[\sum_{y(3^3b)}\psi(y)(\frac{y}{b})_3e(\frac{-(ml)^3\overline{Dly}}{b3^3})\Big]\int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}}e(\frac{(lm)^3}{3^3bDlz})e(\frac{-tXm}{Dz})g(t)V(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{(Xt)^3b}}{Dlz})dt\frac{d^+z}{|z|^2}. \tag{6.6}$$

Now note if $m \equiv \pm 1(3)$, and assuming $(b,3) = 1$, a simple Chinese remainder argument shows that

$$\sum_{y(3^3b)}\psi(y)(\frac{y}{b})_3e(\frac{-(ml)^3\overline{Dly}}{b3^3}) = 0,$$

as it decomposed as a Ramanujan sum with prime power modulus. So to have a non-zero contribution $m \equiv 0(3)$.

So we are left with from (6.4)

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(3^3b)}\frac{1}{\phi(3)}\sum_{\psi(3)}\frac{(\frac{D}{b})_3\psi(D)}{\mathbb{N}(D)}\sum_{l}\frac{\mu(l)(\frac{l}{b})_3\psi(l)}{\mathbb{N}(l)}\sum_{m}\Big[\sum_{y(3^3b)}\psi(y)(\frac{y}{b})_3e(\frac{-(ml)^3\overline{Dly}}{b})\Big]\times \tag{6.7}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}}e(\frac{(lm)^3}{bDlz})e(\frac{-tX3m}{Dz})g(t)V(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{(Xt)^3b}}{Dlz})dt\frac{d^+z}{|z|^2}.$$

Changing $z \to \frac{1}{z}$ and in the Gauss sum $y \to (m)^3 l^2 \overline{D} y$ and another integration by parts argument shows the m-sum can be limited to size $\mathbb{N}(m) \ll \sqrt{X}$, with an error term of size $O(X^{-N})$ and so we can perform Poisson summation on the m-sum to get

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(3^3Db)}\frac{1}{\phi(3)}\sum_{\psi(3)}\sum_{l}\frac{\mu(l)}{\mathbb{N}(l)}\sum_{q\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}}\left[\sum_{y(3^3b)}\psi(y)(\frac{y}{b})_3e(\frac{-\overline{y}}{b})\right]\times \tag{6.8}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}}e(\frac{(wl)^3z}{bDl})e(\frac{-tX3wz}{D})g(t)V(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{(Xt)^3b}z}{Dl})dt\frac{d^+z}{|z|^2}e(-qw)dw.$$

With a change of variables $z \to \frac{Dlz}{2(Xt)^{3/2}}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(3^3Db)}\frac{1}{\phi(3)}\sum_{\psi(3)}\sum_{l}\frac{\mu(l)}{\mathbb{N}(l)}\sum_{q\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}}\left[\sum_{y(3^3b)}\psi(y)(\frac{y}{b})_3e(\frac{-\overline{y}}{b})\right]\times \tag{6.9}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}}e(\frac{(wl)^3z}{2(Xt)^{3/2}b})e(\frac{-3lwz}{2(Xt)^{1/2}})g(t)V(2\pi z\sqrt{b})dt\frac{d^+z}{|z|^2}e(-qw)dw.$$

Another change of variables $w \to \frac{(Xt)^{1/2}w}{l}$ leaves

$$\frac{\sqrt{X}}{\mathbb{N}(3^3Db)}\frac{1}{\phi(3)}\sum_{\psi(3)}\sum_{l}\frac{\mu(l)}{\mathbb{N}(l)^2}\sum_{q\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}}\left[\sum_{y(3^3b)}\psi(y)(\frac{y}{b})_3e(\frac{-\overline{y}}{b})\right]\times \tag{6.10}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}}e(\frac{w^3z}{2b})e(\frac{-3wz}{2})g(t)V(2\pi z\sqrt{b})\sqrt{t}dt\frac{d^+z}{|z|^2}e(-\frac{q(Xt)^{1/2}w}{Dl})dw.$$

Again another integration by parts argument in the w- variable shows the only non-negligible term is the $q=0$ term (other terms contributing $O(X^{-N})$), which leaves after a rearrangement of terms

$$\frac{\sqrt{X}\int_{\mathbb{C}}g(t)\sqrt{t}dt}{\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}(2)\mathbb{N}(3^3Db)}\frac{1}{\phi(3)}\sum_{\psi(3)}\left[\sum_{y(3^3b)}\psi(y)(\frac{y}{b})_3e(\frac{-\overline{y}}{b})\right]\int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}}V(2\pi z\sqrt{b})e(\frac{w^3z}{2b})e(\frac{-3wz}{2})\frac{d^+z}{|z|^2}dw. \tag{6.11}$$

Using Chinese remainder theorem on the y-sum

$$\sum_{y(3^3b)}\psi(y)(\frac{y}{b})_3e(\frac{-\overline{y}}{b}) = [\sum_{z(3^3)}\psi(z)][\sum_{x(b)}(\frac{x}{b})_3e(\frac{-\overline{x}}{b})],$$

which is non-zero if ψ is the trivial character only.

So the main term simplifies again to

$$\frac{\sqrt{X}\phi(3^3)\int_{\mathbb{C}}g(t)\sqrt{t}dt}{\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}(2)\phi(3)\mathbb{N}(3^3Db)}\left[\sum_{y(b)}\psi(y)(\frac{y}{b})_3e(\frac{-\overline{y}}{b})\right]\int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}}V(2\pi z\sqrt{b})e(\frac{w^3z}{2b})e(\frac{-3wz}{2})\frac{d^+z}{|z|^2}dw. \tag{6.12}$$

Now the w-integral has been fortunately studied in [Ku1], giving the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.13)\quad & \int_{\mathbb{C}} e(\frac{1}{2}(\frac{zw^3}{b} - 3wz))dw = \\
& \frac{\mathbb{N}(b)^{1/3}}{\mathbb{N}(z)^{1/3}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e(\frac{1}{2}(w^3 - 3wb^{1/3}z^{2/3}))dw = \\
& \frac{\mathbb{N}(b)^{1/3}}{\mathbb{N}(z)^{1/3}} \frac{\pi^2}{3\sin(\pi/3)} |b^{1/3}z^{2/3}| (|J_{-1/3}(2\pi z b^{1/2})|^2 + |J_{1/3}(2\pi z b^{1/2})|^2) = \\
& \mathbb{N}(b)^{1/2} \frac{2\pi^2}{3\sqrt{3}} (|J_{-1/3}(2\pi z b^{1/2})|^2 + |J_{1/3}(2\pi z b^{1/2})|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Inputting this into our main term we get

(6.14)
$$\frac{4\pi^2\sqrt{X}\phi(3^3)\int_{\mathbb{C}} g(t)\sqrt{t}dt}{3\sqrt{3}\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}(2)\phi(3)\mathbb{N}(3^3D)\mathbb{N}(b)^{1/6}} \Big[\sum_{y(b)} (\frac{y}{b})_3 e(\frac{-\overline{y}}{b})\Big] \int_{\mathbb{C}} V(2\pi z\sqrt{b})(|J_{-1/3}(2\pi z b^{1/2})|^2 + |J_{1/3}(2\pi z b^{1/2})|^2)\frac{d^+z}{|z|^2}.$$

Now a change of variables $z \to \frac{z}{2\pi\sqrt{b}}$, unhinges the archimedean integral from the arithmetic b. This b dictates the b-th Fourier coefficient on the spectral side of the trace formula.

(6.15)
$$\frac{2\pi^2\sqrt{X}\phi(3^3)\int_{\mathbb{C}} g(t)\sqrt{t}dt}{3\sin(\pi/3)\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}(2)\phi(3)\mathbb{N}(3^3D)\mathbb{N}(b)^{1/6}} \Big[\sum_{y(b)} (\frac{y}{b})_3 e(\frac{-\overline{y}}{b})\Big] \int_{\mathbb{C}} V(z)(|J_{-1/3}(z)|^2 + |J_{1/3}(z)|^2)\frac{d^+z}{|z|^2}.$$

Now the Gauss sum equals $\overline{g(1,c)}$, so we are left with

$$\frac{2\pi^2\overline{g(1,b)}\sqrt{X}\phi(3^3)\int_{\mathbb{C}} g(t)\sqrt{t}dt}{3\sin(\pi/3)\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}(2)\phi(3)\mathbb{N}(3^3D)\mathbb{N}(b)^{1/6}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} V(z)(|J_{-1/3}(z)|^2 + |J_{1/3}(z)|^2)\frac{d^+z}{|z|^2}. \tag{6.16}$$

We simplify $\frac{\phi(3^3)}{3\phi(3)\mathbb{N}(3^3)} = \frac{1}{27}$, and likewise make a change of variables to polar coordinates giving

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} g(t)\sqrt{t}dt = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t)\sqrt{t}dt,$$

and as we assumed g is chosen to have $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t)\sqrt{t}dt = 1$, the main term is

$$\frac{4\pi^3\overline{g(1,b)}\sqrt{X}}{27\sqrt{3}\sin(\pi/3)\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}(2)\mathbb{N}(D)\mathbb{N}(b)^{1/6}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} V(z)(|J_{-1/3}(z)|^2 + |J_{1/3}(z)|^2)\frac{d^+z}{|z|^2}. \tag{6.17}$$

Now it is an easy but tedious check that

$$B_{1/3,0}(z) = \frac{(|J_{-1/3}(z)|^2 + |J_{1/3}(z)|^2)}{\sin(\pi/3)}, \tag{6.18}$$

so (5.19) equals a main term plus arbitrary decay in X,

$$\frac{4\pi^3\overline{g(1,b)}\sqrt{X}}{27\sqrt{3}\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}(2)\mathbb{N}(D)\mathbb{N}(b)^{1/6}} h(V, 1/3, 0) + O(X^{-N}). \tag{6.19}$$

7. ISOLATATING A SINGLE FORM ON THE SPECTRAL SIDE OF THE TRACE FORMULA

We exploit the fact that each irreducible representation Π has associated Fourier coefficients that obey Hecke relations:

$$c_n(\Pi)c_{m_1}(\Pi) = \sum_{r|(\mathtt{n},m_1)} c_{\frac{m_1\mathtt{n}}{r^2}}(\Pi), \tag{7.1}$$

one gets

$$\sum_{\Pi\neq\mathbf{1}} h(V,\nu_\Pi)c_\mu(\Pi)c_q(\Pi)c_\nu(\Pi) = \sum_{r|(q,\nu)}\sum_{\Pi\neq\mathbf{1}} h(V,\nu_\Pi)c_\mu(\Pi)c_{\frac{q\nu}{r^2}}(\Pi). \tag{7.2}$$

Likewise, one gets

$$\sum_{\Pi\neq\mathbf{1}} h(V,\nu_\Pi)c_\mu(\Pi)\left[\prod_{i=1}^N c_{q_i}(\Pi)\right]c_\nu(\Pi) = \tag{7.3}$$

$$\sum_{r_1|(q_1,\nu)}\ \sum_{r_2|(\frac{q_1\nu}{r_1^2},q_2)}\ \sum_{r_3|(\frac{q_1q_2\nu}{(r_1r_2)^2},q_3)} \cdots \sum_{r_N|\left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^N q_i\nu}{\prod_i^N r_i^2},q_N\right)}\ \sum_{\Pi\neq\mathbf{1}} h(V,\nu_\Pi)c_\mu(\Pi)c_{\frac{\prod_{i=1}^N q_i\nu}{\prod_{i=1}^N r_i^2}}(\Pi).$$

So one computes by using Theorem 1.1 and (7.3) for any q_i such that $(\prod_{i=1}^N q_i, D) = 1$,

$$\frac{1}{X}\sum_{n\in\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{K}}\sum_{n} g(\mathbb{N}(n)/X)\sum_{\Pi\neq\mathbf{1}} h(V,\nu_\Pi)c_{n^3}(\Pi)\left[\prod_{i=1}^N c_{q_i}(\Pi)\right] = \tag{7.4}$$

$$\sum_{r_1|(q_1,\nu)}\ \sum_{r_2|(\frac{q_1\nu}{r_1^2},q_2)}\ \sum_{r_3|(\frac{q_1q_2\nu}{(r_1r_2)^2},q_3)} \cdots \sum_{r_N|\left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^N q_i\nu}{\prod_i^N r_i^2},q_N\right)} \frac{1}{X}\sum_{n\in\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{K}} g(\mathbb{N}(n)/X)\sum_{\Pi\neq\mathbf{1}} h(V,\nu_\Pi)c_{n^3}(\Pi)c_{\frac{\prod_{i=1}^N q_i\nu}{\prod_{i=1}^N r_i^2}}(\Pi) = O(X^{\epsilon-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

In particular, for any polynomial $F(c_{q_1}, c_{q_2}, ..., c_{q_N})$ with complex coeffiicients

$$\frac{1}{X}\sum_{\mathtt{m}}\sum_{n} g(\mathtt{m}^2 n/X)\sum_{\Pi\neq\mathbf{1}} h(V,\nu_\Pi)c_n(\Pi)F(c_{q_1}, c_{q_2}, ..., c_{q_N}) \tag{7.5}$$

has a corresponding identity like Theorem 1.1 over the forms of level D on $\mathbb{Q}$ adjoined the cube roots of unity.

With this freedom of choice of F, if we were in a finite dimensional setting (e.g. the space of forms of a fixed weight k or eigenvalue parameter t_j), we can choose such a polynomial F to be zero on all but one single representation Π. An important point to be made here is that whatever polynomial F we make, it is independent of X. We prove in the next section we can reduce to such a finite dimensional setting.

7.1. Inversion formulas and reduction to a finite dimensional setting.

Theorem 7.1. *{Bruggeman-Motohashi Inversion formula} For any function f that is even, smooth and compactly supported on $\mathbb{C}^*$, let*

$$h(V,\nu,p) := \frac{\pi}{2}\int_{\mathbb{C}^*} V(z)B_{\nu,p}(z)\frac{d^+z}{|z|^2},$$

then we have

$$\mathbf{B}[h(V,\nu,p)](z) = V(z).$$

Here for $f(\nu,p)$ a nice function defined in [L]*(Chapter 11.1),*

$$\mathbf{B}[f](z) := \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{\Re(\nu)=0} B_{\nu,p}(z) f(\nu,p)(p^2-\nu^2)d\nu. \tag{7.6}$$

Now we use Hypothesis 1.3 in the following propositions very analogous to those in the appendix of [V]:

Theorem 7.2. *Let t_j be a discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}$ with $\{j : t_j \le T\} \ll T^r$ for some r and $p \in Z$ with $\{p : p \le P\} \ll P^q$ for some q. Let, for each j, p, there be given a function $c_X(t_j,p)$ depending on X, so that $c_X(t_j,p) \ll (t_j p)^{r'}$ for some r'- the implicit constant independent of X. Suppose that one has an equality*

$$\lim_{X\to\infty} \sum_{j,p} c_X(t_j,p) h(V,t_j) = 0 \tag{7.7}$$

for all $h(V,t_j,p)$ that correspond via Bruggeman-Motohashi inversion to V. Then $\lim_{X\to\infty} c_X(t_j)$ exists for each $\{t_j,p\}$ and equals 0. This equality holds for all functions h for which both sides converge.

Proposition 7.3. *Given $\{j_0,p_0\} \in \mathbb{N}^2, \epsilon > 0$ and an integer $N > 0$, there is a V of compact support so that $h(V,t_0,p_0) = 1$, and for all $j' \neq j_0, p \neq p_0, h(V,t_{j'},p) \ll \epsilon[(1+|t_{j'}|)(1+|t_p|)]^{-N}$.*

Proof. {Theorem 7.2 via Proposition 7.3} Exactly same proof as Proposition 17 implying Theorem 7 from the appendix of [V]. ☐

Proof. {Proposition 7.3} See Appendix 2. ☐

Specifically, we let

$$c_X(t_j) = \frac{1}{X} \sum_{\substack{\Pi \\ t_\Pi = t_j}} \sum_n g(\mathbb{N}(n)/X) c_{n^3}(\Pi) F(c_{q_1}, c_{q_2}, ..., c_{q_N}), \tag{7.8}$$

for any polynomial $F \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, .., x_N]$.

Now choosing F to isolate a single discrete Π with archimedean parameter t_j, not the cubic theta function, reduces the problem to

$$\frac{1}{X} \sum_{n\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}} g(\mathbb{N}(n)/X) c_{n^3}(\Pi) = O(X^{\epsilon-\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{7.9}$$

By Mellin inversion

$$\frac{1}{X} \sum_{n\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}} g(\frac{\mathbb{N}(n)}{X}) c_{n^3}(\Pi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \hat{g}(s) X^{s-1} \left(\sum_{n\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}} \frac{c_{n^3}(\Pi)}{\mathbb{N}(n)^s} \right) ds = O(X^{\epsilon-\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{7.10}$$

How does this Dirichlet series above relate to the symmetric cube L-function?

Lemma 7.4.

$$\sum_{n\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}} \frac{c_{n^3}(\Pi)}{\mathbb{N}(n)^s}$$

has analytic continuation to $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{2}$ if and only if $L(s,\Pi,sym^3)$ does.

Proof. Using the multiplicativity and a trivial bound (i.e. $c_n(\Pi) \ll \mathbb{N}(n)^{1-\epsilon}$) for the Fourier coefficients with the expansion of

$$\log(1+x) = \sum_{n=1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}x^n}{n}$$

for $-1 \leq x < 1$, we get

(7.11)

$$\log\left(\sum_{n\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}} \frac{c_{n^3}(\Pi)}{\mathbb{N}(n)^s}\right) = \log\left(\prod_{p\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}},\text{ prime}} (1+\frac{c_{p^3}(\Pi)}{\mathbb{N}(p)^s})\right) = \sum_{p\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}},\text{ prime}} \sum_{j=1} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}(c_{p^3}(\Pi))^j}{j\mathbb{N}(p)^{js}}.$$

Likewise, the symmetric cube L-function is defined by an Euler product [KS] up to a finite set S of primes as

(7.12)

$$L_S(s,\Pi,sym^3) := \prod_{p\notin S,\text{ prime}} (1-\frac{\alpha_p(\Pi)^3}{\mathbb{N}(p)^s})^{-1}(1-\frac{\alpha_p(\Pi)^2\beta_p(\Pi)}{\mathbb{N}(p)^s})^{-1}(1-\frac{\alpha_p(\Pi)\beta_p(\Pi)^2}{\mathbb{N}(p)^s})^{-1}(1-\frac{\beta_p(\Pi)^3}{\mathbb{N}(p)^s})^{-1},$$

where $\alpha_p(\Pi), \beta_p(\Pi)$ are the Satake parameters associated to Π. As we only care about the analytic continuation of the L-function for $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{2}$, the Euler pieces in S do not affect convergence issues. Using

$$\log(1-x) = -\sum_{n=1} \frac{x^n}{n}$$

for $-1 < x \leq 1$, and a similar argument to (7.11) we get

$$\log(L_S(s,\Pi,sym^3)) = \sum_{p\notin S,\text{ prime}} \sum_{j=1} \frac{c_{p^j}(sym^3(\Pi))}{j\mathbb{N}(p)^{js}}, \tag{7.13}$$

using the fact

$$c_{p^j}(sym^3(\Pi)) = \alpha_p(\Pi)^{3j} + \alpha_p(\Pi)^{2j}\beta_p(\Pi)^j + \alpha_p(\Pi)^j\beta_p(\Pi)^{2j} + \beta_p(\Pi)^{3j}.$$

Using (7.1) again, one can easily check $c_p^3(\Pi) = c_p(sym^3(\Pi))$. So the two sums match for $j = 1$ (up to the set of primes in S) and to prove the lemma we only consider $j > 1$. Now we need some kind of non-trivial Ramanujan bound $|a_n(\Pi)| \ll n^{\theta+\epsilon}, \epsilon > 0$, for convergence of the series. In the interest of trying to avoid using bounds coming from knowledge of the symmetric cube L-function of [KS], we use the bound of [Sh], with $\theta = \frac{1}{5}$. Then for (7.11), analogously (7.13), for $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{2}$,

(7.14)

$$\sum_{p\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}},\text{ prime}} \sum_{j=2} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}(c_{p^3}(\Pi))^j}{j\mathbb{N}(p)^{js}} \ll \sum_{p\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}},\text{ prime}} \sum_{j=2} \frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(p)^{4j/5}} \ll \sum_{p\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}},\text{ prime}} \frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(p)^{4/5}(\mathbb{N}(p)^{4/5}-1)} < 1.$$

It is clear then that the Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}} \frac{c_{n^3}(\Pi)}{\mathbb{N}(n)^s}$$

has analytic continuation to $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{2}$ if and only if $L(s,\Pi,sym^3)$ does. □

So following the lemma and (7.10) holding for an arbitrary test function g, we get Corollary 1.5.

8. Appendix 1

Here we discuss how one can understand the same problem of determing the poles of the symmetric cube L-function of GL_2 form but over $\mathbb{Q}$, with trivial central character. We follow exactly the same steps to get to a sum similar to (4.5) but with only the $m = 0$ term,

$$F(X) := \frac{1}{X^{3/2}} \sum_c \frac{1}{c} \sum_{x(c)^*} e(\frac{\overline{x}l}{c}) \sum_{k(c)} e(\frac{xk^3}{c}) W_0(\frac{c}{X^{3/2}}). \tag{8.1}$$

We will show $F(X) = O(X^{-1/2})$.

8.1. **Properties of cubic exponential sums and cubic gauss sums.**

Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial with integral coefficients, then adopting the notation of [P1], we define

$$S(f(x), c) = \sum_{j=0}^{c-1} e(\frac{f(j)}{c}).$$

We first need some lemmas found in [P1].

Lemma 8.1. *If c_1, c_2 are coprime then*

$$S(Ax^3, c_1c_2) = S(Ac_2^2x^3, c_1) \cdot S(Ac_1^2x^3, c_2).$$

Lemma 8.2. *Suppose $(A, p) = 1$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} S(Ax^3, p^k) &= p^{\frac{2k}{3}} \quad \text{if } k \equiv 0 \mod 3 \\ &= p^{\frac{2k-2}{3}} S(Ax^3, p) \quad \text{if } k \equiv 1 \mod 3 \\ &= p^{\frac{2k-1}{3}} \quad \text{if } k \equiv 2 \mod 3, p \neq 3 \\ &= p^{\frac{2k-1}{3}} (1 + 2\cos(\frac{2\pi A}{9})) \quad \text{if } k \equiv 2 \mod 3, p = 3 \end{aligned}$$

Also if $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$, or if $p = 3$ then

$$S(Ax^3, p) = 0.$$

Lemma 8.3. *Let $p \equiv 1(3)$ and let $p = \pi\overline{\pi}$ be a decomposition of p into prime factors in $\mathbb{Z}[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}]$, with $\pi \equiv 1(3)$. Then*

$$S(Ax^3, p) = g(A, \pi) + \overline{g(A, \pi)}$$

where

$$g(A, \pi) = \sum_{y(\pi)} \left(\frac{y}{\pi}\right)_3 e\left(Tr(\frac{Ay}{\pi})\right).$$

Using these lemmas one can prove

Lemma 8.4. *If $(3A, c) = 1$, then*

$$S(Ax^3, c) = \sum_{\substack{\delta, d \\ N(\delta) \cdot d^3 = c}} g(A, \delta) \cdot d^2,$$

where $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega], \delta \equiv 1(3)$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Our focus will naturally be on

$$\sum_{x(c)^*} e(\frac{\overline{x}}{c}) \sum_{k(c)} e(\frac{xk^3}{c}).$$

To make our use of Lemma 8.2 more explict we write this as

$$\sum_{A(c)^*} e(\frac{\overline{A}}{c}) \sum_{k(c)} e(\frac{Ak^3}{c}). \tag{8.2}$$

We make a change of variables $k \to \overline{A}k$, and $A \to \overline{A}$, to get (8.2) equals

$$\sum_{A(c)^*} e(\frac{A}{c}) \sum_{k(c)} e(\frac{A^2k^3}{c}). \tag{8.3}$$

Let $c_3 := \frac{c}{3^j}$, where $3^j||c$, so clearly $(c_3, 3^j) = 1$. Then using multiplicativity of Lemma 8.1 (8.3) equals

$$\left[\sum_{A(3^j)^*} e(\frac{A}{3^j}) \sum_{k(3^j)} e(\frac{(Ac_3)^2k^3}{3^j})\right] \left[\sum_{A(c_3)^*} e(\frac{A}{c_3}) \sum_{k(c_3)} e(\frac{3^{2j}A^2k^3}{c_3})\right] \tag{8.4}$$

Then Lemma 8.4 gives (8.4) equals

$$\left[\sum_{A(3^j)^*} e(\frac{A}{3^j}) \sum_{k(3^j)} e(\frac{(Ac_3)^2k^3}{3^j})\right] \left[\sum_{A(c_3)^*} e(\frac{A}{c_3}) \sum_{\substack{\delta,d \\ N(\delta)\cdot d^3 = c_3}} g(A^2 3^{2j}, \delta) \cdot d^2\right]. \tag{8.5}$$

We focus on the sums associated with c_3. For the time we will ignore the subscript c_3 and replace it with c. Using the fact that

$$g(r,n) = \overline{(\frac{r}{n})_3} g(1,n), \tag{8.6}$$

for $(r,n) = 1$ and $\overline{(\frac{r^2}{n})_3} = (\frac{r}{n})_3$, we open up the cubic gauss sum to get

$$\sum_{\substack{\delta,d \\ N(\delta)\cdot d^3 = c}} d^2 \sum_{y(\delta)} \overline{(\frac{3^{2j}y}{\delta})_3} e(Tr(\frac{y}{\delta})) \sum_{A(N(\delta)\cdot d^3)^*} e(\frac{A}{N(\delta)\cdot d^3})(\frac{A}{\delta})_3. \tag{8.7}$$

Now the interior sum is a gauss sum that is only non-zero when $d = 1$. Therefore (8.7) equals

$$\sum_{\substack{\delta \\ N(\delta) = c \\ \delta \equiv 1(3)}} \overline{(\frac{3^{2j}}{\delta})_3} \sum_{y(\delta)} (\frac{y}{\delta})_3 e(Tr(\frac{y}{\delta})) \sum_{A(N(\delta))^*} e(\frac{A}{N(\delta)})(\frac{A}{\delta})_3. \tag{8.8}$$

Let

$$\tau((\frac{\cdot}{n})) = \sum_{1 \le x \le N(n)} \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)_3 e^{\frac{2\pi i x}{N(n)}}, \tag{8.9}$$

then following the arguments of [BY] we have

$$\tau((\frac{\cdot}{\pi})) = g(1,\pi).$$

Then (8.8) equals

$$\sum_{\substack{\delta \\ N(\delta)=c \\ \delta\equiv 1(3)}} \left(\frac{\overline{3^{2j}}}{\delta}\right)_3 \sum_{y(\delta)} \left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)_3 e\left(Tr\left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)\right) \sum_{A(N(\delta))^*} e\left(\frac{A}{N(\delta)}\right)\left(\frac{A}{\delta}\right)_3 = \tag{8.10}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\delta \\ N(\delta)=c \\ \delta\equiv 1(3)}} \left(\frac{\overline{3^{2j}}}{\delta}\right)_3 \sum_{y(\delta)} \left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)_3 e\left(Tr\left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)\right) \sum_{A(\delta)} e\left(Tr\left(\frac{A}{\delta}\right)\right)\left(\frac{A}{\delta}\right)_3 = \sum_{\substack{\delta \\ N(\delta)=c \\ \delta\equiv 1(3)}} \left(\frac{\overline{3^{2j}}}{\delta}\right)_3 g(1,\delta)^2. \tag{8.11}$$

The last equality, using properties of the cubic gauss sum can be written as

$$\sum_{\substack{\delta \\ N(\delta)=c \\ \delta\equiv 1(3)}} g(3^j,\delta)^2.$$

8.2. **Evaluating at $m = 0$.** Remember our goal is to evaluate

$$\frac{1}{X^{3/2}} \sum_{c} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{x(c)^*} e\left(\frac{\overline{x}l}{c}\right) \sum_{k(c)} e\left(\frac{xk^3}{c}\right) W_0\left(\frac{c}{X^{3/2}}\right). \tag{8.12}$$

By the previous section, this boils down to studying

$$\frac{1}{X^{3/2}} \sum_{j=0} \sum_{\substack{\delta \\ \delta\equiv 1(3)}} \frac{\sum_{A(3^j)^*} S(A^2N(\delta)^2, 3^j) e(\frac{A}{3^j})}{3^j} \frac{g(3^j,\delta)^2}{N(\delta)} W_0\left(\frac{N(\delta)3^j}{X^{3/2}}\right). \tag{8.13}$$

One would like to execute the sum over j and δ separately, and it can almost be done upon inspecting Lemma 8.2. Namely, the pivotal case is $j \equiv 2(3)$. So we split the j-sum into residue classes modulo 3.

8.3. **$j \equiv 2(3)$.** In this case, $S(A^2N(\delta)^2, 3^j) = 3^{\frac{2j-1}{3}}(1 + 2\cos(\frac{2\pi A^2 N(\delta)^2}{9}))$. Here we use from [P2] that

$$2\cos\left(\frac{2\pi A}{9}\right) = e(1/9)\chi_9(A) + e(-1/9)\overline{\chi_9(A)},$$

where $\chi_9(A)$ is the primitive character of order 3 and conductor 9 given by $\chi_9(1 + 3v) = e(v/3)$. Incorporating this,

$$\sum_{A(3^j)^*} S(A^2N(\delta)^2, 3^j) e\left(\frac{A}{3^j}\right) = \tag{8.14}$$

$$3^{\frac{2j-1}{3}} \left(\mu(1) + e(1/9)\chi_9(N(\delta)^2) \sum_{A(3^j)^*} \chi_9(A^2) e\left(\frac{A}{3^j}\right) + e(-1/9)\overline{\chi_9(N(\delta)^2)} \sum_{A(3^j)^*} \overline{\chi_9(A^2)} e\left(\frac{A}{3^j}\right) \right)$$

Note we only get a non trivial contribution from $j = 2$ to the Gauss sum. So for $j = 2$, (8.14) equals

$$1 + e(1/9)\chi_9(N(\delta)^2)\overline{\tau(\chi_9)} + e(-1/9)\overline{\chi_9(N(\delta)^2)}\tau(\chi_9).$$

This gives (8.13) equaling

$$\begin{aligned}(8.15)\quad &\frac{1}{9}\sum_{\substack{\delta\\ \delta\equiv 1(3)}}\frac{g(9,\delta)^2}{N(\delta)}W_0(\frac{N(\delta)9}{X^{3/2}})+\\ &\frac{1}{9}e(1/9)\overline{\tau(\chi_9)}\left(\sum_{\substack{\delta\\ \delta\equiv 1(3)}}\frac{\chi_9(N(\delta)^2)g(9,\delta)^2}{N(\delta)}W_0(\frac{N(\delta)9}{X^{3/2}})\right)+\\ &\frac{1}{9}e(-1/9)\tau(\chi_9)\left(\sum_{\substack{\delta\\ \delta\equiv 1(3)}}\frac{\overline{\chi_9(N(\delta)^2)}g(9,\delta)^2}{N(\delta)}W_0(\frac{N(\delta)9}{X^{3/2}})\right).\end{aligned}$$

We also use the fact that $\chi_9(N(\delta)) = (\frac{\omega}{\delta})_3$, and 8.6, to get

$$\begin{aligned}(8.16)\quad &\frac{1}{9}\sum_{\substack{\delta\\ \delta\equiv 1(3)}}\frac{g(9,\delta)^2}{N(\delta)}W_0(\frac{N(\delta)9}{X^{3/2}})+\\ &\frac{1}{9}e(1/9)\overline{\tau(\chi_9)}\left(\sum_{\substack{\delta\\ \delta\equiv 1(3)}}\frac{g(9\omega^2,\delta)^2}{N(\delta)}W_0(\frac{N(\delta)9}{X^{3/2}})\right)+\\ &\frac{1}{9}e(-1/9)\tau(\chi_9)\left(\sum_{\substack{\delta\\ \delta\equiv 1(3)}}\frac{g(9\omega,\delta)^2}{N(\delta)}W_0(\frac{N(\delta)9}{X^{3/2}})\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now we use the key result of [P3]. Let $Q(s,\mu) = \sum_{\substack{\delta\in\mathbb{Z}[\omega]\\ \delta\equiv 1(3)}}\frac{g(\mu,\delta)^2}{N(\delta)^s}$.

Theorem 8.5. *$Q(s,\mu)$ converges absolutely if $\Re(s) > 2$ and can be continued to a meromorphic function in the entire plane. $Q(s,\mu)$ is regular in the half plane $\Re(s) \geq 5/3$ except for a possible pole at $s = 5/3$.*

This is the result that is very similar to the equidistribution result of [HBP]. The differences is they look at the Dirichlet series

$$(8.17)\qquad \sum_{\substack{\delta\in\mathbb{Z}[\omega]\\ \delta\equiv 1(3)}}\frac{\widetilde{g(1,\delta)}\Lambda(\delta)}{N(\delta)^s},$$

where $\widetilde{g(1,\delta)} = \frac{g(1,\delta)}{|\delta|}$.

Letting $\hat{W_0}(s)$ be the Mellin transform of W_0, then by Mellin inversion the first sum in (8.15) equals

$$(8.18)\qquad 1/9\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty}\hat{W_0}(s)X^{3s/2}Q(1+s,9)ds,$$

with $c = 4$. Then by a contour shift to $c = 2/3-\epsilon$, we get at most a term $O(X)$, coming from the possible pole at $s = 5/3$.

We now address (8.13) for the cases $j \equiv 0, 1(3)$. Fortunately these cases are much easier to deal with.

8.4. $j \equiv 0(3)$. Here $S(A^2N(\delta)^2, 3^j) = 3^{\frac{2j}{3}}$, by Lemma 8.2. Therefore,

$$\sum_{A(3^j)^*} S(A^2N(\delta)^2, 3^j)e(\frac{A}{3^j}) = 3^{\frac{2j}{3}}.$$

We also need the fact that

$$(\frac{3^{k+3l}}{\delta})_3 = (\frac{3^k}{\delta})_3.$$

Here in the case of $j \equiv 0(3), g(3^j, \delta) = g(1, \delta)$. So letting $k = 3j$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0} \sum_{\substack{\delta \\ \delta \equiv 1(3)}} \frac{1}{3^{2k}} \frac{g(1,\delta)^2}{N(\delta)} W_0(\frac{N(\delta)3^{3k}}{X^{3/2}}). \tag{8.19}$$

Again by Mellin inversion and an application of Theorem 8.5, we get a term of size $O(X)$.

8.5. $j \equiv 1(3)$. The case here is trivial as

$$S(A^2N(\delta)^2, 3^j) = 3^{\frac{2j-2}{3}} S(A^2N(\delta)^2, 3) = 0,$$

by Lemma 8.2. This completes are calculation for $m = 0$.

9. Appendix 2

We prove Proposition 7.3 in this second appendix.

Proof. {Proposition 7.3} The proof of this proposition is very similar to the proof of Proposition 17 from the appendix of [V], as the transform in question is still a J-Bessel transform.

Lemma 9.1. *Let A be a positive integer. Suppose there exists a function $h(\nu, p)$ holomorphic for $|\Re(\nu)| \le A + \epsilon$ for some ϵ, and rapidly decaying along vertical lines in the variable ν. Let $V = F_h$ be the function associated to h by the Bruggeman-Motohashi inversion formula. Then one has an estimate for V and its derivatives near 0, given by $V^l(z) \ll z^{A-l}$.*

Proof. Same as Lemma 24 in [V], expand the J-Bessel function in the definition of $B_{\nu,p}(z)$ into its power series and estimate. □

We now discuss how to get good decay at ∞.

Lemma 9.2. *Suppose for $h(it, p) \ll_A e^{-A|t|}$ for all A. Then the function $V = F_h$ has the asymptotic expansion:*

$$V(z) = \cos^2(z - \pi/4) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{n,m}(h) z^{-n} + \sin^2(z - \pi/4) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_{n,m}(h) z^{-n}.$$

The error of truncating at n is of order $O(z^{-(n+1)})$, and

$$A_{n,m}(h) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{n,m}(it) h(it, p)(p^2 + t^2) dt.$$

Here $a_{n,m}(z)$ are certain polynomials in z. Each derivative $V^{(l)}(z)$ also has an asymtotic expansion equal to that obtained by term by term differentiation.

Proof. Use a product of the standard asymptotic of the J-Bessel function at ∞, as in [V]. For the asymptotic see [GR](8.451). Expanding the Bessel function inside of the integral in terms of the asymptotic and interchanging the sum and integral using the exponential decay of h we get the result. For the derivative of V one uses the asymptotic expansion again and the fact $J_\nu'(z) = \frac{1}{2}(J_{\nu-1}(z) - J_{\nu+1}(z))$. $\square$

Lemma 9.3. *Fix* $M, N, p_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\epsilon > 0$. *There exists a holomorphic function* $h(\nu, p)$ *with decay* $h(it, p) \ll_A e^{-A|t|}$ *along any vertical line, so that* $h(it_{j_0}, p_0) = 1$ *and for* $j \neq j_0$ *or* $p \neq p_0$, $h(it_j, p) \ll \epsilon[(1 + |t_j|)(1 + |p|)]^{-N}$, *so that also* $A_n(h)$ *vanish for* $n \leq 2M$. *This implies* $V(z) = F_h(z) = O(z^{-M})$.

Proof. Again the idea is analogous to [V]. We wan to show there exists an h such that $A_n(h) = 0$ for $n \leq M$. For $T_1, T_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$, define

$$h_{T_1,T_2,\delta_1,\delta_2}(z, w) := \frac{1}{\delta_1\delta_2\pi} \exp(\frac{-(z^2 + T_1^2)}{\delta_1})^2 \exp(\frac{-(w - T_2)}{\delta_2})^2.$$

Then $h_{T_1,T_2,\delta_1,\delta_2}(z, w)$ is holomorphic and as $\delta_i \to 0$ localizes around $(\pm iT_1, T_2)$. It also clearly has the exponential decay $h(it, p) \ll_A e^{-A|t|}$. From this constructed test function we will build a function

$$h_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(z, w) = \sum_{j=1}^{Q_1}\sum_{k=1}^{Q_2} c_j c_k h_{T_j,T_k,\delta_1,\delta_2}(z, w)$$

that fulfills the linear constraints ($A_n(h) = 0, n \leq M$) and growth conditions ($h(it_j, p) \ll \epsilon[(1 + |t_j|)(1 + |p|)]^{-N}$) of the lemma at the same time.

As $h_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(z, w)$ is holomorphic in vertical strips by Lemma 9.1 it has rapid decay near 0. Its decay at ∞ is controlled by the coefficients

$$A_{n,m}(h_{\delta_1,\delta_2}) = \sum_{j=1}^{Q_1}\sum_{k=1}^{Q_2}\sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} c_j c_k \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{n,m}(it) h_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(it, p)(p^2 + t^2)dt,$$

with a similar expansion for the coefficients $B_{n,m}(h)$. As $\delta_1, \delta_2 \to 0$ the localization of h implies the integral associated to $A_{n,m}(h_{\delta_1,\delta_2})$ approaches

$$\sum_{j=1}^{Q_1}\sum_{k=1}^{Q_2} c_j c_k a_{n,m}(iT_j)(T_j^2 + T_k^2) + O_{T_j,T_k}(\delta_1\delta_2).$$

Suppose now we want to know exactly the asymptotic behavior of $V = F_h$ for $j, k \in \{1, .., M\}$. We wish to choose $c_{j,k}$ and T_j, T_k such that $A_{j,k}(h_{\delta_1,\delta_2}) = v_{j,k}$, for some sequence $\{v_{j,k}\}_{j,k=1}^M$ (similarly for $B_{j,k}$). Suppose $Q_1 = Q_2 = M$, then we wish to solve the linear system for unknown matrix $\{c_{j,k}\}_{j,k}^M$ of size $M \times M$,

$$[\{c_{j,k}\}_{j,k}^M] D_{\delta_1,\delta_2} = \{v_{j,k}\}_{j,k=1}^M.$$

Here D_{δ_1,δ_2} is the $M \times M$ matrix with $\{j, k\}$ entry $a_{n,m}(iT_j)(T_j^2 + T_k^2) + O_{T_j,T_k}(\delta_1\delta_2)$. Similar to [V], it can be checked that the determinant of the matrix $D_{0,0}$ is analytic function of T_j, T_k and does not vanish in open sets of T_j, T_k. Therefore we can apply Cramer's rule in each column to solve for the $c_{j,k}$. One can then perform this same operation including the coefficients associated to $B_{j,k}(h_{\delta_1,\delta_2})$, by looking at matrices of size $2M$.

Now choose a second function $h_{it_{j_0},p_0,\delta_1,\delta_2}(z, w)$ that is localized at the specified points of our lemma. Then construct an $h_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(z)$ as above such that the associated T_j, T_k are not t_{j_0}, p_0, and so that the asymptotic behavior of $F_{h_{\delta_1,\delta_2}}$ matches that of $-F_{h_{it_{j_0},p_0,\delta_1,\delta_2}}$ up to the M-th term of the asymptotic expansion. In other words the associated $\{v_{j,k}\}_{j,k=1}^{M}$ associated to $h_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(z)$ are exactly the negatives of those associated to whatever coefficients are associated to the asymptotic expansion of $h_{it_{j_0},p_0,\delta_1,\delta_2}(z,w)$.

Then the function $h'_{\delta_1,\delta_2} = h_{it_{j_0},p_0,\delta_1,\delta_2} + h_{\delta_1,\delta_2}$ will have the property that $F_{h'_\delta} \ll z^N$ near 0 and $F_{h'_\delta}(z) \ll z^{-M}$ near ∞.

By choosing $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ sufficiently small and by our construction above, $h'(it_{j_0}, p_0) := h'_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(it_{j_0}, p_0) = 1$ while for any other $\{t_j, p\}$ combination $h'(it_j, p) \ll \epsilon(\delta_1, \delta_2)[(1+|t_j|)(1+|p|)]^{-N}$, for any integer N. □

{Conclusion of Proposition 7.3}

By the above lemmas we know that there exists $V = F_{h'}$ associated to a h' that satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 7.3 except it is not of compact support. We can truncate this function following [V] again. Let g be a smooth compactly supported function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $g(x) = 1$ on $[-1, 1]$ and equal zero outside of $[-2.2]$. Then define $V_r(z) = F_{h'}(z)g(\log(|z|)r)$. Pointwise this converges to $V(z) = F_{h'}(z)$ as $\rho \to 0$, and is compactly supported. We then ask the behavior of $h(V - V_r, \nu, p)$? Following [BMo] in the proof Theorem 11.1, one gets the estimate for any smooth function f with good decay properties at ∞

$$h(f, \nu, p) \ll (1 + |\nu| + |p|)^{-N}$$

for any integer N. Indeed, their proof depends on bounding the Mellin transform of f optimally which depends on applying integration by parts which then will then depend on the decay properties of f at ∞. This is a similar argument to [V].

Therefore, choosing compactly supported test function instead of those we constructed above, does not affect the properties of the Bessel transform. We conclude that there exists a compactly supported V that has the properties of $F_{h'}$, and the proposition is complete.

□

References

[BB] V.Blomer, F. Brumley. *On the Ramanujan conjecture over number fields* Annals of Mathematics, 174,1,581-605.

[BM] R.W.Bruggeman & R.J.Miatello. *Sum formula for SL_2 over a number field and Selberg type estimate for exceptional eigenvalues.* GAFA, vol 8. (1998), 627-655.

[BMo] Bruggeman, Roelof W.; Motohashi, Yoichi *Sum formula for Kloosterman sums and fourth moment of the Dedekind zeta-function over the Gaussian number field.* Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 31 (2003), 2392.

[BMP1] R.W.Bruggeman & R.J.Miatello & M.I.Pacharoni. *Estimates for Kloosterman sums for totally real number fields.* J. Reine Angew. Math., 535:103-164,2001.

[BMP2] R.W.Bruggeman & R.J.Miatello & M.I.Pacharoni. *Density results for automorphic forms on Hilbert modular groups.* Geom. Funct. Anal.,13:681-719,2003.

[BY] Baier, M.Young *Mean values with cubic characters*,arXiv.org:0804.2233 [math.NT].

[D] Davenport,H. *Multiplcative Number Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 74.

[DeshI] Deshouillers, J.-M.; Iwaniec, H. *Kloosterman sums and Fourier coefficients of cusp forms.* Invent. Math. 70 (1982/83), no. 2, 219288.

[DI] Duke, W.; Iwaniec, H. *A relation between cubic exponential and Kloosterman sums.* A tribute to Emil Grosswald: number theory and related analysis, 255–258, Contemp. Math., 143, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

[F] Flicker,Y. *Automorphie Forms on Covering Groups of GL(2)*, lnventiones math. 57, 119- 182 (1980).

[GR] Gradshteyn & Ryzhik. *Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products.* Sixth edition, 2000.

[GS] Goldfeld,D. Sarnak,P., *Sums of Kloosterman sums*, Invent.math.71(1983),243250.

[H] P.E. Herman, *The Functional Equation and Beyond Endoscopy*, submitted.

[HBP] Heath-Brown, D. R.; Patterson, S. J. *The distribution of Kummer sums at prime arguments.* J. Reine Angew. Math. 310 (1979), 111–130.

[IK] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory.* American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 53. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[K] Katz, N. *Exponential Sums and Differential Equations.* AMS-124.

[KP] D. A. Kazhdan and S. J. Patterson. *Metaplectic forms.* Inst. Hautes E?tudes Sci. Publ. Math., (59):35142, 1984.

[KS] Kim,H.; Shahidi,F. *Symmetric cube L-functions for* GL_2 *are entire.* Annals of Mathematics, 150 (1999), 645662.

[Ku1] Kubota, T. *Complex Airy Integral.* Nagoya Math. Journal, Vol. 61 (1976), 113-116.

[Ku2] Kubota,T. *On Automorphic Functions and the Reciprocity Law in a Number Field,*mimeographed notes. Kyoto Univ. 1969.

[L] Lokvenec-Guleska, H. *Sum Formula for SL2 over Imaginary Quadratic Number Fields*, http://igitur-archive.library.uu.nl/dissertations/2004-1203-105121/

[LP] Livné, R.; Patterson, S. J. *The first moment of cubic exponential sums.* Invent. Math. 148 (2002), no. 1, 79–116.

[MR] Mao, Zhengyu; Rallis, Stephen *A trace formula for dual pairs.* Duke Math. J. 87 (1997), no. 2, 321–341.

[P1] Patterson, S. J. *On the distribution of certain Hua sums. Loo-Keng Hua: a great mathematician of the twentieth century.* Asian J. Math. 4 (2000), no. 4, 977–985.

[P2] Patterson, S. J. *On the distribution of certain Hua sums. II.* Asian J. Math. 6 (2002), no. 4, 719–729.

[P3] Patterson, S. J. *On Dirichlet series associated with cubic Gauss sums.* J. Reine Angew. Math. 303/304 (1978), 102–125.

[P4] Patterson, S.J. *The asymptotic distribution of Kloosterman sums.* Acta Arithmetica, LXXIX.3 (1997), 205-219.

[P5] Patterson, S.J. *A cubic analogue of the theta series.* J. Reine Angew. Math., 296.

[P6] Patterson, S.J. *The cubic Shimura Correspondence.* Asian J. Math, International Press Vol. 2, No. 4, pp. 957982, December 1998

[S] Sarnak,P. *Comments on Langland's Lecture.* http://www.math.princeton.edu/sarnak/SarnakLectureNotes-1.pdf.

[Sh] Shahidi,F. *On the Ramanujan Conjecture and Finiteness of Poles for Certain L-Functions.* Annals of Mathematics, Vol 127, Issue 3, 547-584.

[V] Venkatesh,Akshay *Limiting Forms of the Trace Formula*, Princeton University, http://math.stanford.edu/ akshay/research/research/thesis.pdf

[V1] Venkatesh, Akshay *"Beyond endoscopy" and special forms on GL(2).* J. Reine Angew. Math. 577 (2004), 23–80.

[Y] Ye, Yangbo *The lifting of an exponential sum to a cyclic algebraic number field of prime degree.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350 (1998), no. 12, 5003–5015.

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, 5734 S. University Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60637

E-mail address: `peherman@math.uchicago.edu`